Hermann Weyl

Princeton Landmarks in Mathematics

The Classical Groups

Their Invariants and Representations

典型群

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY PRESS

世界图书出版公
www.wpcbj.com.

THE CLASSICAL GROUPS

THEIR INVARIANTS AND REPRESENTATIONS

BY

HERMANN WEYL

PRINCETON UNIVERSITY PRESS
PRINCETON, NEW JERSEY

图书在版编目（CIP）数据

典型群：英文/（德）韦尔（Weyl，H.）著．—影印本．
—北京：世界图书出版公司北京公司，2011.1
书名原文：The Classical Groups：Their Invariants and Representations
ISBN 978-7-5100-2959-2

Ⅰ.①经… Ⅱ.①韦… Ⅲ.①典型群—教材—英文
Ⅳ.①O152.3

中国版本图书馆 CIP 数据核字（2010）第 212268 号

书　　名：	The Classical Groups：Their Invariants and Representations
作　　者：	Hermann Weyl
中 译 名：	典型群
责任编辑：	高蓉　刘慧
出 版 者：	世界图书出版公司北京公司
印 刷 者：	三河国英印务有限公司
发　　行：	世界图书出版公司北京公司（北京朝内大街 137 号　100010）
联系电话：	010-64021602，010-64015659
电子信箱：	kjb@wpcbj.com.cn
开　　本：	24 开
印　　张：	14
版　　次：	2011 年 01 月
版权登记：	图字：01-2010-4883
书　　号：	978-7-5100-2959-2/O·859　　**定　　价：** 39.00 元

THE CLASSICAL GROUPS

PRINCETON LANDMARKS
IN MATHEMATICS AND PHYSICS

Non-standard Analysis, *by Abraham Robinson*

General Theory of Relativity, *by P.A.M. Dirac*

Angular Momentum in Quantum Mechanics,
by A. R. Edmonds

Mathematical Foundations of Quantum Mechanics,
by John von Neumann

Introduction to Mathematical Logic, *by Alonzo Church*

Convex Analysis, *by R. Tyrrell Rockafellar*

Riemannian Geometry, *by Luther Pfahler Eisenhart*

The Classical Groups, *by Hermann Weyl*

Topology from the Differentiable Viewpoint,
by John W. Milnor

Algebraic Theory of Numbers, *by Hermann Weyl*

Continuous Geometry, *by John von Neumann*

Linear Programming and Extensions, *by George B. Dantzig*

Operator Techniques in Atomic Spectroscopy, *by Brian R. Judd*

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com

Copyright 1939, 1946 by Princeton University Press
Second edition, with supplement, published 1953
Copyright © renewed by Princeton University Press, 1966
Published by Princeton University Press, 41 William Street,
Princeton, New Jersey 08540
In the United Kingdom: Princeton University Press, Chichester, West Sussex

All Rights Reserved

ISBN 0-691-07923-4
ISBN 0-691-05756-7 (pbk.)

Fifteenth printing, and first paperback printing, in the Princeton Landmarks
in Mathematics and Physics series, 1997

All rights reserved. No part of this book may be reproduced or transmitted in any form or by any means, electronic or mechanical, including photocopying, recording or by any information storage and retrieval system, without permission in writing from the Publisher.

In Memoriam

ISSAI SCHUR

PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION

Ever since the year 1925, when I succeeded in determining the characters of the semi-simple continuous groups by a combination of E. Cartan's infinitesimal methods and I. Schur's integral procedure, I have looked toward the goal of deriving the decisive results for the most important of these groups by direct algebraic construction, in particular for the full group of all non-singular linear transformations and for the orthogonal group. Owing mainly to R. Brauer's intervention and collaboration during the last few years, it now appears that I have in my hands all the tools necessary for this purpose. The task may be characterized precisely as follows: with respect to the assigned group of linear transformations in the underlying vector space, to decompose the space of tensors of given rank into its irreducible invariant subspaces. In other words, our concern is with the various kinds of "quantities" obeying a linear transformation law, which may be prepared under the reign of each group from the material of tensors. Such is the problem which forms one of the mainstays of this book, and in accordance with the algebraic approach its solution is sought for not only in the field of real numbers on which analysis and physics fight their battles, but in an arbitrary field of characteristic zero. However, I have made no attempt to include fields of prime characteristic.

The notion of an algebraic invariant of an abstract group γ cannot be formulated until we have before us the concept of a representation of γ by linear transformations, or the equivalent concept of a "quantity of type $\mathfrak{A}$." The problem of finding all representations or quantities of γ must therefore logically precede that of finding all algebraic invariants of γ. (For the notion of quantities and invariants of a more general character, and their close interdependence, the reader is referred to the restatement in Chapter I of Klein's Erlanger program in slightly more abstract terms.) My second aim, then, is to give a modern introduction to the theory of invariants. It is high time for a rejuvenation of the classic invariant theory, which has fallen into an almost petrified state. My vindication for having proceeded in a much more conservative manner than our young generation of algebraists would probably deem desirable, is the wish not to sacrifice the past; even so, I hope to have broken through to the modern concepts resolutely enough. I do not pretend to have written *the* book on modern invariant theory: A systematic handbook would have to include many things passed over in silence here.

As one sees from the above description, the subject of this book is rather special. Important though the general concepts and propositions may be with which the modern industrious passion for axiomatizing and generalizing has presented us, in algebra perhaps more than anywhere else, nevertheless I am convinced that the special problems in all their complexity constitute the stock and core of mathematics; and to master their difficulties requires on the whole the harder labor. The border line is of course vague and fluctuating. But quite intentionally scarcely more than two pages are devoted to the general theory of group representations, while the application of this theory

to the particular groups that come under consideration occupies at least fifty times as much space. The general theories are shown here as springing forth from special problems whose analysis leads to them with almost inevitable necessity as the fitting tools for their solution; once developed, these theories spread their light over a wide region beyond their limited origin. In this spirit we shall treat among others the doctrine of associative algebras, which in the last decade has risen to a ruling position in mathematics.

The relations to other parts of mathematics are emphasized where occasion arises, and despite the fundamentally algebraic character of the book, neither the infinitesimal nor the topological methods have been omitted. My experience has seemed to indicate that to meet the danger of a too thorough specialization and technicalization of mathematical research is of particular importance in America. The stringent precision attainable for mathematical thought has led many authors to a mode of writing which must give the reader an impression of being shut up in a brightly illuminated cell where every detail sticks out with the same dazzling clarity, but without relief. I prefer the open landscape under a clear sky with its depth of perspective, where the wealth of sharply defined nearby details gradually fades away towards the horizon. In particular, the massif of topology lies for this book and its readers at the horizon, and hence what parts of it had to be taken into the picture are given in broad outline only. An adaptation of sight different from that required in the algebraic parts, and a sympathetic willingness to cooperate, are here expected from the reader.

The book is primarily meant for the humble who want to learn as new the things set forth therein, rather than for the proud and learned who are already familiar with the subject and merely look for quick and exact information about this or that detail. It is neither a monograph nor an elementary textbook. The references to the literature are handled accordingly.

The gods have imposed upon my writing the yoke of a foreign tongue that was not sung at my cradle.

"Was dies heissen will, weiss jeder,
Der im Traum pferdlos geritten,"

I am tempted to say with Gottfried Keller. Nobody is more aware than myself of the attendant loss in vigor, ease and lucidity of expression. If at least the worst blunders have been avoided, this relative accomplishment is to be ascribed solely to the devoted collaboration of my assistant, Dr. Alfred H. Clifford; and even more valuable for me than the linguistic, were his mathematical criticisms.

HERMANN WEYL

PRINCETON, N. J.,
September, 1938.

NOTE. A reference to formula (7.6) [or to (3.7.6)] indicates the formula 6 in section 7 labeled as (7.6) in the *same* chapter [or in Chapter III respectively].

PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION

The photostatic process employed for the reprinting ruled out any appreciable changes which otherwise might have been desirable. But a new chapter containing Supplements, a list of Errata and Addenda, and a short Bibliography for the years 1940–1945 have been added. Two of the supplements develop an alternate and more direct approach to some of the problems in the theory of the orthogonal and symplectic groups dealt with in Chapters II, V and VI. Supplement C describes a particularly straightforward and powerful process for the generation of invariants discovered by M. Schiffer, whereas supplement D applies the "matrix method" of Chapters III and IX to the splitting of a division algebra by extension of the ground field, without the limitation to normal algebras and finite extensions.

HERMANN WEYL

PRINCETON, N. J.,
March, 1946.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

PAGE

PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION vii
PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION ix

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

PAGE

1. Fields, rings, ideals, polynomials 1
2. Vector space 6
3. Orthogonal transformations, Euclidean vector geometry 11
4. Groups, Klein's Erlanger program. Quantities 13
5. Invariants and covariants 23

CHAPTER II

VECTOR INVARIANTS

1. Remembrance of things past 27
2. The main propositions of the theory of invariants 29

A. FIRST MAIN THEOREM

3. First example: the symmetric group 36
4. Capelli's identity 39
5. Reduction of the first main problem by means of Capelli's identities 42
6. Second example: the unimodular group $SL(n)$ 45
7. Extension theorem. Third example: the group of step transformations 47
8. A general method for including contravariant arguments 49
9. Fourth example: the orthogonal group 52

B. A CLOSE-UP OF THE ORTHOGONAL GROUP

10. Cayley's rational parametrization of the orthogonal group 56
11. Formal orthogonal invariants 62
12. Arbitrary metric ground form 65
13. The infinitesimal standpoint 66

C. THE SECOND MAIN THEOREM

14. Statement of the proposition for the unimodular group 70
15. Capelli's formal congruence 72
16. Proof of the second main theorem for the unimodular group 73
17. The second main theorem for the unimodular group 75

CHAPTER III

MATRIC ALGEBRAS AND GROUP RINGS

A. THEORY OF FULLY REDUCIBLE MATRIC ALGEBRAS

1. Fundamental notions concerning matric algebras. The Schur lemma 79
2. Preliminaries 84
3. Representations of a simple algebra 87
4. Wedderburn's theorem 90
5. The fully reducible matric algebra and its commutator algebra 93

B. THE RING OF A FINITE GROUP AND ITS COMMUTATOR ALGEBRA

6. Stating the problem 96
7. Full reducibility of the group ring 101

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com

PAGE
8. Formal lemmas 106
9. Reciprocity between group ring and commutator algebra 107
10. A generalization 112

CHAPTER IV

THE SYMMETRIC GROUP AND THE FULL LINEAR GROUP

1. Representation of a finite group in an algebraically closed field 115
2. The Young *symmetrizers*. A combinatorial lemma 119
3. The irreducible representations of the symmetric group 124
4. Decomposition of tensor space 127
5. *Quantities. Expansion* 131

CHAPTER V

THE ORTHOGONAL GROUP

A. THE ENVELOPING ALGEBRA AND THE ORTHOGONAL IDEAL

1. Vector invariants of the unimodular group again 137
2. The enveloping algebra of the orthogonal group 140
3. Giving the result its formal setting 143
4. The orthogonal prime ideal 144
5. An abstract algebra related to the orthogonal group 147

B. THE IRREDUCIBLE REPRESENTATIONS

6. Decomposition by the trace operation 149
7. The irreducible representations of the full orthogonal group 153

C. THE PROPER ORTHOGONAL GROUP

8. Clifford's theorem 159
9. Representations of the proper orthogonal group 163

CHAPTER VI

THE SYMPLECTIC GROUP

1. Vector invariants of the *symplectic group* 165
2. Parametrization and unitary restriction 169
3. Embedding algebra and representations of the symplectic group 173

CHAPTER VII

CHARACTERS

1. Preliminaries about unitary transformations 176
2. Character for symmetrization or alternation alone 181
3. Averaging over a group 185
4. The volume element of the unitary group 194
5. Computation of the characters 198
6. The characters of $GL(n)$. Enumeration of covariants 201
7. A purely algebraic approach 208
8. Characters of the symplectic group 216
9. *Characters of the orthogonal group* 222
10. Decomposition and $\times$-multiplication 229
11. The Poincaré polynomial 232

Chapter VIII
GENERAL THEORY OF INVARIANTS
A. Algebraic Part

PAGE
1. Classic invariants and invariants of quantics. Gram's theorem ... 239
2. The symbolic method ... 243
3. The binary quadratic ... 246
4. Irrational methods ... 248
5. Side remarks ... 250
6. Hilbert's theorem on polynomial ideals ... 251
7. Proof of the first main theorem for $GL(n)$ ... 252
8. The adjunction argument ... 254

B. Differential and Integral Methods

9. Group germ and Lie algebras ... 258
10. Differential equations for invariants. Absolute and relative invariants ... 262
11. The unitarian trick ... 265
12. The connectivity of the classical groups ... 268
13. Spinors ... 270
14. Finite integrity basis for invariants of compact groups ... 274
15. The first main theorem for finite groups ... 275
16. Invariant differentials and Betti numbers of a compact Lie group ... 276

Chapter IX
MATRIC ALGEBRAS RESUMED

1. Automorphisms ... 280
2. A lemma on multiplication ... 283
3. Products of simple algebras ... 286
4. Adjunction ... 288

Chapter X
SUPPLEMENTS

A. Supplement to Chapter II, §§9–13, and Chapter VI, §1, Concerning Infinitesimal Vector Invariants

1. An identity for infinitesimal orthogonal invariants ... 291
2. First Main Theorem for the orthogonal group ... 293
3. The same for the symplectic group ... 294

B. Supplement to Chapter V, §3, and Chapter VI, §§2 and 3, Concerning the Symplectic and Orthogonal Ideals

4. A proposition on full reduction ... 295
5. The symplectic ideal ... 296
6. The full and the proper orthogonal ideals ... 299

C. Supplement to Chapter VIII, §§7–8, Concerning.

7. A modified proof of the main theorem on invariants ... 300

D. Supplement to Chapter IX, §4, About Extension of the Ground Field

8. Effect of field extension on a division algebra ... 303

Errata and Addenda ... 307
Bibliography ... 308
Supplementary Bibliography, Mainly for the Years 1940–1945 ... 314
Index ... 317

CHAPTER I

INTRODUCTION

1. Fields, rings, ideals, polynomials

Before we can start talking algebra we must fix the *field* k of numbers wherein we operate. k is the closed universe in which all our actions take place. I should advise the reader at first to think of k as the continuum of the ordinary real or complex numbers. But generally speaking, k is any set of elements α, called *numbers*, closed with respect to the two binary operations: *addition* and *multiplication*. Addition and multiplication are supposed to be *commutative* and *associative*. Moreover, addition shall allow of a unique inversion (*subtraction*), i.e. there is a number o, called *zero*, such that

$$\alpha + o = \alpha$$

for every α, and each α has a *negative* $-\alpha$ satisfying $\alpha+(-\alpha) = o$. Multiplication shall fulfill the *distributive law* with respect to addition:

$$\alpha(\beta + \gamma) = (\alpha\beta) + (\alpha\gamma),$$

from which one readily deduces the universal equation

$$\alpha \cdot o = o. \tag{1.1}$$

Multiplication also is required to be invertible (*division*) with the one exception necessarily imposed by (1.1): there shall exist a *unit* ϵ or 1 satisfying

$$\alpha \cdot \epsilon = \alpha \tag{1.2}$$

for all α, and every α *except* o shall have an *inverse* α^{-1} or $1/\alpha$ such that $\alpha \cdot \alpha^{-1} = \epsilon$. Were $\epsilon = o$, all numbers α would be $= o$ according to (1.1) and (1.2); this degenerate case we once for all exclude by the axiom $\epsilon \neq o$.

Any number α gives rise to its *multiples*

$$\alpha = 1\alpha, \qquad \alpha + \alpha = 2\alpha, \qquad 2\alpha + \alpha = 3\alpha, \cdots;$$

here the integers 1, 2, 3, $\cdots$ are symbols of "multipliers" rather than numbers in the reference field k. Two cases are possible: either all the multiples

$$n\epsilon \qquad\qquad (n = 1, 2, 3, \cdots)$$

of the unit ϵ are $\neq o$, or there is a least n for which $n\epsilon = o$. In the latter case the integer n must be a prime number p. Indeed for a composite number $n = n_1 n_2$ (neither n_1 nor $n_2 = 1$) we should have

$$n\epsilon = n_1\epsilon \cdot n_2\epsilon = o,$$

and hence $n_1\epsilon$ or $n_2\epsilon$ would equal o in contradiction to n being the *least* vanishing multiple of ϵ. One distinguishes these two cases by ascribing the *characteristic* 0 *or* p to the field k. In a field of prime characteristic p the p-fold of any number α vanishes:

$$p\alpha = p(\epsilon\alpha) = (p\epsilon)\alpha = o.$$

In a field of characteristic zero we can form the aliquot part $\beta = \alpha/n$ of α with any integer n, i.e. a number β satisfying the equation $n\beta = \alpha$. Indeed this equation amounts to

$$n\epsilon \cdot \beta = \alpha,$$

and as the first factor $n\epsilon$ is $\neq o$ the equation is solvable according to the axiom of divisibility. Hence our field k contains the subfield of the rational multiples of ϵ:

$$m\epsilon/n \quad (n \text{ a positive integer } 1, 2, 3, \cdots,$$

$$m \text{ any integer } 0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \cdots),$$

which is isomorphic to the field of ordinary rational numbers m/n and may be identified with it. To this most primitive field of characteristic 0 we shall always refer as the *ground field* κ, and our remark thus asserts the fact that *any field* k *of characteristic* 0 *contains the ground field* κ. *From now on we shall assume the reference field* k *to be of characteristic* 0 without mentioning this restriction again and again; we shall not try to discuss any of our problems in a field of prime characteristic. So even when we use the phrase "in an arbitrary field" or something similar we mean "in an arbitrary field of characteristic 0".

If one omits the axiom requiring the existence of an inverse α^{-1} one obtains the general notion of a *ring* rather than a field; only addition, subtraction and multiplication are possible in a ring. The classical example is the set of all integers. If a product $\alpha\beta$ of two elements of the ring never vanishes unless at least one of the factors vanishes, the ring is *without null divisors*. Starting with a given ring R without null divisors, we may formally introduce fractions α/β as pairs of elements α, β in R of which the second term β is $\neq 0$, and then define equality, addition, and multiplication in accordance with the rules which we all learned in school. The fractions form a field, the *quotient field of* R; it contains R if we identify the fraction $\alpha/1$ with α.

With respect to a given ring R a set $\mathfrak{a}$ of its elements is called an *ideal* if

$$\alpha \pm \beta, \qquad \lambda\alpha$$

lie in $\mathfrak{a}$ for any α, β in $\mathfrak{a}$ and any number λ in R. The case where $\mathfrak{a}$ consists of the one element 0 only is expressly excluded. The classical example is provided by the integral multiples of a given integer. The ideals serve as modules for *congruences*:

$$\lambda \equiv \mu \pmod{\mathfrak{a}}$$

means that the difference $\lambda - \mu$ of the two numbers λ, μ of R lies in $\mathfrak{a}$. A finite number of elements $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_r$ in $\mathfrak{a}$ constitute an (ideal) basis of $\mathfrak{a}$ if every element α in $\mathfrak{a}$ is of the form

$$\lambda_1\alpha_1 + \cdots + \lambda_r\alpha_r \qquad (\lambda_i \text{ in } R).$$

$\mathfrak{a}$ is then the ideal $(\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_r)$ with the basis $\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_r$. In a *field* k there is only one ideal, the field itself. For if α is a number $\neq 0$ in the given ideal $\mathfrak{a}$, the latter will contain all numbers of the form $\lambda\alpha$ and hence every number β whatsoever: $\lambda = \beta\alpha^{-1}$. In the ring of ordinary integers every ideal is a principal ideal (α). $\mathfrak{a}$ is a *prime ideal* if the congruence

$$\lambda\mu \equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{a}}$$

never holds unless one of the factors λ, μ is $\equiv 0 \pmod{\mathfrak{a}}$.

A formal expression

$$f(x) = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \alpha_i x^i$$

involving the "indeterminate" (or variable) x, whose coefficients α_i are numbers in a field k, is called a (k-)polynomial of x of formal degree n. If $\alpha_n \neq 0$, n is its actual degree; 0 is the only polynomial not possessing an actual degree. Everybody knows how to add and multiply polynomials; they form a ring $k[x]$ without null-divisors. Indeed if a is of actual degree m, b of degree n:

$$a = \alpha_m x^m + \cdots, \quad b = \beta_n x^n + \cdots \qquad (\alpha_m \neq 0, \beta_n \neq 0),$$

then

$$ab = \alpha_m\beta_n x^{m+n} + \cdots$$

is of degree $m + n$ since $\alpha_m\beta_n \neq 0$. One sees that this proposition will still hold when the coefficients are taken from a ring without null-divisors rather than from a field k. This allows us to pass to polynomials of a new indeterminate y with coefficients taken from $k[x]$ or, what is the same, to k-polynomials of *two* indeterminates x, y, and so on. *The k-polynomials of several indeterminates $x, y, \cdots$ form a ring $k[x, y, \cdots]$ without null-divisors.*

In a given polynomial $F(u, v, \cdots)$ of certain indeterminates $u, v, \cdots$ one may carry out the *substitution*

$$u = f(x, y, \cdots), \qquad v = g(x, y, \cdot\cdot), \cdots$$

by means of certain polynomials $f, g, \cdots$ of other indeterminates $x, y, \cdots$; the result is a polynomial $\Phi(x, y, \cdots)$ of $x, y, \cdots$:

$$F(f(x, y, \cdots), g(x, y, \cdots), \cdots) = \Phi(x, y, \cdots).$$

In particular one may substitute *numbers* $\alpha, \beta, \cdots$ for the "arguments" $u, v, \cdots$ in F; the resulting number $F(\alpha, \beta, \cdots)$ is called the *value of F for the values $\alpha, \beta, \cdots$ of the arguments $u, v, \cdots$*.

$f(x)$ being a polynomial in x, α is a *zero* or a *root* of f if $f(\alpha) = 0$. A polynomial of degree n has at most n different zeros; this follows in the well-known way by proving that $f(x)$ contains the factors $(x - \alpha_1)(x - \alpha_2) \cdots$ if $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \cdots$ are distinct zeros. Hence a polynomial $f(x) \neq 0$ does not vanish numerically for every value of x in k, provided the reference field k is of characteristic 0, because such a field contains infinitely many numbers. One can even find a *rational* value of x for which the value of f is $\neq 0$. Induction with respect to the number of indeterminates permits generalization of this proposition to polynomials with any number of arguments. If

$$F(x, y, \cdots); \qquad R_1(x, y, \cdots), \qquad R_2(x, y, \cdots), \cdots$$

are a number of non-vanishing k-polynomials then the product $FR_1R_2 \cdots$ is also $\neq 0$; and hence our statement can be sharpened to the following

LEMMA (1.1.A). (*Principle of the irrelevance of algebraic inequalities.*) *A k-polynomial $F(x, y, \cdots)$ vanishes identically if it vanishes numerically for all sets of rational values $x = \alpha, y = \beta, \cdots$ subject to a number of algebraic inequalities*

$$R_1(\alpha, \beta, \cdots) \neq 0, \qquad R_2(\alpha, \beta, \cdots) \neq 0, \cdots .$$

From the ring $k[x, y, \cdots]$ of k-polynomials in $x, y, \cdots$ one can pass to the *field* $k(x, y, \cdots)$ of the *rational functions* of $x, y, \cdots$ in k by forming the quotient field of $k[x, y, \cdots]$.

The *derivative* $f'(x)$ of a polynomial $f(x)$ is introduced as the coefficient of t in the expansion of $f(x + t)$ as a polynomial in t:

$$f(x + t) = f(x) + t \cdot f'(x) + \cdots \tag{1.3}$$

The familiar formal properties of derivation are immediate consequences thereof. One might restate the definition (1.3) as follows: there is a polynomial $g(x, y)$ satisfying the identity

$$f(y) - f(x) = (y - x) \cdot g(x, y); \tag{1.4}$$

$f'(x)$ is $= g(x, x)$. While in Calculus the unique determination of $g(x, x)$ is brought about by requiring $g(x, y)$ to be *continuous* even for $y = x$, Algebra attains the same by requiring g to be a *polynomial*. The derivative of

$$f(x) = \alpha_0 + \alpha_1 x + \alpha_2 x^2 + \cdots + \alpha_n x^n$$

is

$$f'(x) = \alpha_1 + 2\alpha_2 x + \cdots + n\alpha_n x^{n-1}.$$

Hence *the only polynomial $f(x)$ in a field of characteristic zero whose derivative $f'(x)$ vanishes is the constant*: $f(x) = \alpha_0$.

For a polynomial $f((x)) = f(x_1, \cdots, x_n)$ of n variables x_i one may form similarly to (1.3):

$$f((x + t \cdot y)) = f(x_1 + ty_1, \cdots, x_n + ty_n) = f((x)) + t \cdot f_1((x, y)) + \cdots . \tag{1.5}$$

此为试读,需要完整PDF请访问: www.ertongbook.com