

Sign and Discourse

Dimensions of Comparative Poetics

Han-liang Chang

Fudan University Press

Sign and Discourse

Dimensions of Comparative Poetics

Han-liang Chang

Fudan University Press

图书在版编目(CIP)数据

符号与言谈:比较诗学的实践 = Sign and Discourse: Dimensions of Comparative Poetics: 英文/
张汉良著. —上海:复旦大学出版社, 2013. 6
ISBN 978-7-309-09354-4

I. 符… II. 张… III. 比较诗学-研究-中国、西方国家-英文 IV. ①I207.22②I106.2

中国版本图书馆 CIP 数据核字(2013)第 272372 号

符号与言谈: 比较诗学的实践

Sign and Discourse: Dimensions of Comparative Poetics

张汉良 著

责任编辑/胡春丽

复旦大学出版社有限公司出版发行

上海市国权路 579 号 邮编:200433

网址: fupnet@fudanpress.com <http://www.fudanpress.com>

门市零售:86-21-65642857 团体订购:86-21-65118853

外埠邮购:86-21-65109143

山东鸿杰印务集团

开本 787×1092 1/16 印张 24.25 字数 697 千

2013 年 6 月第 1 版第 1 次印刷

ISBN 978-7-309-09354-4/I·732

定价: 98.00 元

如有印装质量问题, 请向复旦大学出版社有限公司发行部调换。

版权所有 侵权必究

Preface

This collection of essays produced during a span of nearly forty years has a title, *Sign and Discourse*, which may sound banal to readers familiar with contemporary critical theory. Banal as it may seem, the title can be misleading and therefore needs some clarification. First of all, there is an inherent, necessary and reciprocal relationship between “sign” and “discourse”: Discourse has to be encoded in the linguistic sign before its enunciation, and sign can perform its signifying and communicating functions only through discourse, that is, when language is put in social use. Accordingly, one has to concur with Émile Benveniste’s highly idiosyncratic usage that “semiotics” is embedded in “semantics” — a noble attempt at reinstating the historicity of language users’ interaction (Benveniste 1974: 64). As he puts it, “With the semantic, we enter into the specific mode of meaning which is generated by discourse” (“Avec le sémantique, nous entrons dans le mode spécifique de signification qui est engendré par le DISCOURS.”) (1974: 64; 1981: 19). But at the same time, he points out the two domains’ dialectic relationship. “Semiotics (the sign) must be *recognized*; semantics (the discourse) must be *understood*.” (“Le sémiotique [le signe] doit être RECONNU; le sémantique [le discours] doit être COMPRIS.”) (1974: 64–65; 1981: 20). However, the two orders of language in-put do not represent two disciplines, but follow temporality and causality. One recognizes sign, in the Saussurian sense of word (*moneme*) as its elementary form, based on acquired rather than innate language competence, and the signification process of signs (or semiosis) gives rise to sentence and discourse in an infinite generative process. The difference, then, is not that between semiotics and semantics, but between the cognition of individual signs and the cognition of semiosis in discourse.

Nevertheless, one could argue that, where social use is concerned, there is little difference between semantics and

pragmatics, and for that matter, semiotics. Only in this sense can sign be conceived of as discourse and, in other words, semiosis as a life process. One is reminded of Saussure's announcement of semiology as the "studies of signs and their life in human societies" ("études des signes et de leur vie dans les sociétés humaines") (Saussure 1967: 48; Saussure 1993:71 and 71a), or as a conceivable science which deals with "the life of signs at the heart of social life" ("la vie de signes au sein de la vie sociale") (Saussure 1931: 33.). The minor difference in wording, as one surely remembers, resulted from his students' note-taking, which was reflective of at least three lives, of the master lecturing and the two pupils listening and recording.

The communication or "autocommunication" (pace Lotman 2001) circuit of lecturing, listening and writing in various institutions of higher learning in Greater China, North America and Europe thus summarizes a life of signs as *apologia pro vita mea*. Therefore, the volume is in every sense autobiographical; it toys with the notion of realizing "self" or "life" through "writing".

Having said this, I am aware, as chapter 19 suggests, that there cannot be a life (*bio*) of self (*auto*) made available through writing (*graphein*). All the three entities that constitute the genre of autobiography, in name as well as in substance, are ephemeral whilst entering into an intricate semiotic web of relationships. If I may be allowed to stretch a bit farther the figure of corpus as life and book, the division of the book into five "thematic" parts is tantamount to five chapters of a floating life, at once adhering to and defying chronology. Finally, as the essays were delivered and published in different times and places, there cannot be a unity in format. I have chosen to let them stay in their original forms. This explains the inconsistency in spelling (e.g., Americanism and Anglicism), transliteration (e.g., Wade-Giles and Hanyu pinyin), and style sheet (e.g., MLA and APA), amongst other formalistic and rhetorical infelicities.

The publication of my life corpus has been made possible by the encouragement and kind guidance of Professor Chen Sihe 陈思和 of Fudan University and the Editorial Staff of Fudan University Press, led by Mr. He Shengsui 贺圣遂. I owe both of them a profound debt of gratitude. Dr. Hu

Chunli 胡春丽 has shouldered up the heavy burden of editing this technically-difficult book; she commands my highest respect. The publication is funded by Fudan University's 985 Research Project, the Third Term, Category of Humanities, No. 2011RWXKZD031.

References

- Benveniste, Émile 1974. *Problèmes de linguistique générale*, 2. Paris: Gallimard.
- Benveniste, Émile 1981. "The Semiology of Language". Trans. Genette Ashby and Adelaide Russo. *Semiotica* (37: Supp. 1): 5–23.
- Lotman, Yuri M. 2001. *Universe of the Mind: Semiotic Theory of Culture*. Trans. Ann Shukman. London: I. B. Tauris.
- Saussure, Ferdinand de 1931 (1916). *Cours de Linguistique générale*. Paris: Payot.
- Saussure, Ferdinand de 1967. *Cours de Linguistique générale*, Édition critique par Rudolf Engler. tome 1, fascicule 1. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz.
- Saussure, Ferdinand de 1993. *Troisième cours de Linguistique générale (1910–1911) d'après les cahiers d'Emile Constantin / Saussure's Third Course of Lectures on General Linguistics (1910–1911), From the Notebooks of Emile Constantin*. Ed. Eisuke Komatsu, Trans. Roy Harris. Oxford: Pergamon Press.

Acknowledgments

The author gratefully acknowledges the following publishers and journals in which the essays in this volume were originally published, or the occasions where the essays were first delivered as conference papers.

Chapter 1 was published in *The American Journal of Semiotics* 23.1–4 (2007).

Chapter 2 was published in *Tamkang Review* 28.3 (1988).

Chapter 3 was delivered at International Conference on Argumentation in Dialogic Interaction, in Lugano, Switzerland, on 30 June–3 July 2002.

Chapter 4 was delivered at the International Pragmatics Conference at Tel Aviv University and The Hebrew University of Jerusalem, Israel, on 13–16 June 1999.

Chapter 5 was published in *The American Journal of Semiotics* 24.1–3 (2008).

Chapter 6 was published in *Controversies and Subjectivity*, eds. Pierluigi Barrota and Marcelo Dascal, Amsterdam and Philadelphia: John Benjamins, 2005.

Chapter 7 was published in *Biosemiotics* 5.3 (December 2012).

Chapter 8 was published in *Traditions of Controversy*, eds. Marcelo Dascal and Han-liang Chang, Amsterdam and Philadelphia: John Benjamins, 2007.

Chapter 9 was published in *MNEMOSYNE: A Journal of Classical Studies* 51.1 (1998).

Chapter 10 was published in *The Force of Vision 3: Literary Theory, Proceedings of the 13th Congress of the International Comparative literature Association*, Tokyo: University of Tokyo Press, 1995.

Chapter 11 was published in *Semiotica* 108.1–2 (1996).

Chapter 12 was published in *Σημειωτική: Sign System Studies* 29.2 (2001).

Chapter 13 was published in *The Chinese Journal of Semiotic Studies* 2.4 (December 2010).

Chapter 14 was published as Working Paper 4 by the Center for Twentieth Century Studies at the University of Wisconsin — Milwaukee in 1988.

Chapter 15 was published in Czech as “Odkaz Josefa Vachka pro stadium činského pisma” in *Slovo a Slovesnost* 59.4 (1998).

Chapter 16 was published in *Modern Chinese Literature: Special Double Issue on Taiwan Fiction* 6.1–2 (Spring/Fall 1992).

Chapter 17 was published in *Chinese-Western Comparative Literature: Theory and Strategy*, ed. John J. Deeney, Hong Kong: The Chinese University of Hong Kong Press, 1980.

Chapter 18 was published in *New Asia Academic Bulletin* 1 (1978).

Chapter 19 was published in *The Chinese Text: Studies in Comparative Literature*, ed. Ying-hsiung Chou, Hong Kong: The Chinese University of Hong Kong Press, 1986.

Chapter 20 was delivered at the Workshop on Critical Approaches to Modern Chinese Short Stories, sponsored by the Joint Committee on Chinese Studies of the American Council of Learned Societies and the Social Science Research Council, on 11–19 December 1982, at East-West Center, Honolulu.

Chapter 21 was published in *Semblance and Signification*, eds. Pascal Michelucci, Olga Fischer, and Christina Ljungberg, Amsterdam and Philadelphia: John Benjamins, 2011.

Chapter 22 was published in *Languages of Science in the Eighteenth Century*, ed. Britt-Louise Gunnarsson, Berlin and New York: Mouton de Gruyter, 2011.

Chapter 23 was published in *Studies in Chinese-Western Comparative Drama*, ed. Yun-Tong Luk, Hong Kong: The Chinese University of Hong Kong Press, 1990.

Chapter 24 was delivered at the International Conference on Modern Literature and Literary Theory, at Sun Yat-sen University, Kaohsiung, Taiwan, on 16–17 December 1994.

Chapter 25 was delivered at the Panel on “Reframing the Historiography of Philosophy: A Dialectical Approach” at the 22nd World Congress of Philosophy, Seoul National University, Seoul, Korea, on 30 July–5 August 2008.

Chapter 26 was published in *Comparative Criticism* 22 (2000).

Chapter 27 was published in *Poetics: Journal for Empirical Research on Literature, the Media and the Arts* 21.1–2 (1992).

Chapter 28 was published in *Os Estudos Literarios: Entre Ciencia e Hermeneutica*, ed. Maria Seixo, Actas do I Congresso da APLC, Lisboa: Associacao Portuguesa de Literatura Comparada, 1989.

Chapter 29 was delivered at the Symposium on “Inter-Asian Comparative Literature: Problems and Perspectives”, the 51st Annual Meeting of the Japanese Comparative Literature Association, Rikkyo University, Tokyo, Japan, on 17 June 1989.

Chapter 30 was published in *Comparative Poetics*, ed. Claudio Guillén, vol. 2 of *General Problems of Literary History, Proceedings of the 10th Congress of the International Comparative Literature Association, New York 1982*, ed. Anna Balakian, 3 vols. New York: Garland Press, 1985.

Chapter 31 was delivered at “Language Beyond Power”, the Fourth Biennial Summer Symposium and Professional Development Seminar of International Communicology Institute, Aalborg University, Denmark, on 26 June–1 July 2006.

Chapter 32 was published in *Biosemiotics: Information, Codes and Signs in Living Systems*, ed. Marcello Barbieri, New York: Nova Science Publishers, 2007.

Chapter 33 was published in *Σημειωτική: Sign Systems Studies* 32.1–2 (2004).

Chapter 34 was published in *Σημειωτική: Sign Systems Studies* 31.1 (2003).

Chapter 35 was published in *Biosemiotics* 2.2 (July 2009).

Chapter 36 was published in *Σημειωτική: Sign Systems Studies* 32.2 (2003).

Chapter 37 was published in *Σημειωτική: Sign Systems Studies* 34.1 (2006).

Table of Contents

Preface

Acknowledgments

Part I Ancient and Early Modern Sign Systems Studies

Chapter 1	The Rise of Chinese Literary Theory: Intertextuality and System Mutations in Classical Texts	3
Chapter 2	Controversy over Language: Towards Pre-Qin Semiotics	25
Chapter 3	The Paradox of Learning and the Elenchos: Plato's <i>Meno</i> , Augustine's <i>De Magistro</i> , and Gongsun Long's <i>Jianbailun (On Hardness and Whiteness)</i>	51
Chapter 4	Controvert the Dead: Sextus Empiricus and Plutarch against the Stoics.....	81
Chapter 5	Between Nature and Culture: A Glimpse of the Biosemiotic World in Fourth Century BCE Chinese Philosophy	99
Chapter 6	Intersubjectivity in Controversy: A Story from the Taoist Philosopher Zhuangzi	111
Chapter 7	Plato and Peirce on Likeness and Semblance	126
Chapter 8	Persuasion in the Pre-Qin China: The Great Debate Revisited	143

Chapter 9	The Rise of Semiotics and the Liberal Arts: Reading Martianus Capella's <i>The Marriage of Philology and Mercury</i>	158
Chapter 10	The Theorist as Visionary: Logocentrism in "Medieval" Chinese and European Critical Discourse	177

Part II Reflections on Chinese Writing System

Chapter 11	Semiographics: A Peircian Approach to Chinese Script	189
Chapter 12	Naming Animals in Chinese Writing	205
Chapter 13	Ancient Chinese Concept of <i>fa</i> (Law) and Its Orthographical Representations	216
Chapter 14	Hallucinating the Other: Derridean Fantasies of Chinese Script	236
Chapter 15	The Legacy of Josef Vachek and Its Implications in the Studies of Chinese Script	253
Chapter 16	Graphemics and Novel Interpretation: The Case of Wang Wen-hsing	270

Part III Genre Studies

Chapter 17	Towards a Structural Generic Theory of Tang Stories	301
Chapter 18	The <i>Yang Lin</i> Story Series: A Structural Analysis	326
Chapter 19	The Anonymous Autobiographer: Roland Barthes / Shen Fu	358

Chapter 20	A Lover's Discourse versus Story: Su Man-Shu's "The Broken Hairpin"	373
Chapter 21	Mental Space Mapping in Classical Chinese Poetry: A Cognitive Approach	388
Chapter 22	Calendar and Aphorism: A Generic Study of Carl Linnaeus's <i>Fundamenta Botanica</i> and <i>Philosophia Botanica</i>	411
Chapter 23	Mimetic Desire / Dramatic Structure: Racine's <i>Phaedra</i> and Ma Chih-yüan's (Ma Zhiyuan) <i>Han-kung ch'iu</i> (<i>Hangongqiu</i>)	431
Chapter 24	Literary London: An Essay	453

Part IV Influence or Reception?

Chapter 25	On the "Birth" of Historiography of Philosophy in China	471
Chapter 26	Hu Shih and John Dewey: "Scientific Method" in the May Fourth Era — China 1919 and After	486
Chapter 27	Reflections on Cross-cultural Literary Contact: The Reception of American Critical Theory in Taiwan in the 1970s	502
Chapter 28	Western Theory as "Colonial Discourse"? Or, (One More Time!) The Permanent Crisis of Comparative Literature	526
Chapter 29	Perspective and <i>Tertium Comparationis</i> : The Case of Asian Literature	542
Chapter 30	Image / Mirage of the Other: Contemporary Taiwanese Poets' Reception of French Surrealism	549

Part V Nature / Culture Writing and Recent Advances in Sign Studies

Chapter 31	Peirce and Cassirer on Deictics and “Pronominal” Communication	575
Chapter 32	Encoding the Textual Sign in Natural History versus Natural System: The Case of Darwin and Linnaeus	591
Chapter 33	Is Jakob von Uexküll, the Founding Father of Biosemiotics, a Semiotician or Hermeneutician?	611
Chapter 34	Is Language a Primary Modeling System? On Juri Lotman’s Concept of Semiosphere	637
Chapter 35	Semioticians Make Strange Bedfellows, Or, Once Again: “Is Language a Primary Modeling System?”	654
Chapter 36	Notes towards a Semiotics of Parasitism	670
Chapter 37	Disaster Semiotics: An Alternative “Global Semiotics”?	690
Index	707

Part I

ANCIENT AND EARLY MODERN
SIGN SYSTEMS STUDIES

Chapter 1

The Rise of Chinese Literary Theory:

Intertextuality and System Mutations in Classical Texts

It seems anachronistic to talk about *intertextuality* in the beginning of the twenty-first century, almost forty years since the term first appeared with Julia Kristeva's introduction of Mikhail Bakhtin to the Western world (1969: 143–173). Popular as the term is, and controversial as its changing concept has been, very little modern Chinese critical writing deals with the issue of intertextuality (Yip 1988; Fokkema 2000). But comparable textual strategies have governed Chinese critics' and poets' reading and writing about literature throughout the dynasties. My analysis probes into the matter by relating two highly influential ancient texts, the Confucian *Classic of Changes* (hereinafter cited as *Changes*), dated as early as the fifth century B.C.E. and Liu Xie's *The Literary Mind and the Carving of Dragons* (cited as *Dragons*), an *ars poetica* in the third century of the Common Era. But first, a theoretical and methodological framework is in order.

The use and abuse of the term “intertextuality” over the past three decades have less to do with the word's novelty than its ambiguity. Like all conceptual words, its root *text* has undergone a process from concreteness to abstraction. Its Latin etymology and hence metaphor of textile aside, the concept's changing shape in modern scholarship can be seen from the ways in which the word *text* is used by traditional textual critics, the New Critics, and members of the *Tel Quel* group. Modern critical history witnessed *text*'s metamorphosis from a more or less material entity, i.e. manuscripts or printed matter which a textual critic can edit, to a semantic property claiming autonomy and aspiring to the conditions of ontology, a system of coded structures, and finally to some kind of enunciative

and discursive productivity (Kristeva) or semiotic *mis-en-abîme* (Derrida). The same critical history has also seen the exchange of textuality and intertextuality, as well as the latter's ultimate triumph over the former.

It is not my intention here to go over the history of this conceptual evolution, to expose and, if possible, to dispel some of the myths involved with intertextuality. Much has already been done in this regard.¹ I shall, instead, appropriate two usages. The first usage is suggested by Kristeva as a *remedy* to the confusion of influence with intertextuality, which she refers to the “transposition of one (or several) sign system(s) into another” (1974: 59–60). The second usage belongs to Michael Riffaterre in his untiring attempts over the past thirty years to reinstate materiality by truncating the abstract *intertextuality* to a more reified *intertext* (1990: 56).² Whether or not intertexts can be identified through specific signposts, as Riffaterre suggests, is not an issue here. My attempt is to articulate the transposition of sign systems as manifested in the two classical Chinese texts, the Confucian philosophical writing *Changes* and Liu Xie's *Dragons*, the latter generally regarded as the first systematic book of literary theory and criticism.

I. Text and Architext

Let me begin by briefly defining, indeed rehearsing, *text*. *Text* is the product of signification, i.e. the positioning and functioning of signs with “coded structures” as tacitly agreed upon, or used without awareness, by members of a discursive community. These signs and their components are variously distributed and integrated into a hierarchy of relations. It is possible that a text is made up by different kinds of encoded signs, such as verbal and nonverbal signs. In such cases, these codes necessarily enter into complex *intratextual* relationships. Now when the same or a similar structural relationship is applied to two or several or, theoretically, an infinite number of texts, one is dealing with the phenomenon of intertextuality. The transcoding relationship exists on both the expression and the content levels, or syntactic and semantic levels. I shall demonstrate by analyzing the heterogeneous text of *Changes*