# 理论及其应用

赵农 刘小鲁/著

# **Barriers to** Entry and Exit: Theory and Application



# 进入与退出的壁垒: 理论及其应用

刘小鲁/著

**Barriers** to Entry and Exit: Theory and Application



#### 图书在版编目(CIP)数据

进入与退出的壁垒:理论及其应用/赵农,刘小鲁著:

北京: 中国市场出版社, 2006.10

ISBN 7 -5092 -0104 -7

I. 进··· II. ①赵···②刘··· III. 企业管理 IV. F270

中国版本图书馆 CIP 数据核字 (2006) 第 111577 号

书 名: 进入与退出的壁垒: 理论及其应用

著 者: 赵 农 刘小鲁

责任编辑:郭爱东

出版发行:中国市场出版社

地 址: 北京市西城区月坛北小街 2 号院 3 号楼 (100837)

电 话:编辑部 (010) 68034190 读者服务部 (010) 68022950

发行部 (010) 68021338 68020340 68053489

68024335 68033577 68033539

经 销:新华书店

印 刷:河北省高碑店市鑫宏源印刷厂

规 格: 880×1230毫米 1/32 10.25 印张 270 千字

版 本: 2007年1月第1版

印 次: 2007年1月第1次印刷

书 号: ISBN 7-5092-0104-7/F·60

定 价: 28.00元

#### Introduction

The Theory of Industrial Organization has long been focusing on topics of barriers to entry and exit, which are classified as, according to their origins, structural, behavioral and institutional barriers. Barriers to entry generally relate to industrial monopoly and thereby, normally lead to dead-weight losses. Barriers to exit usually derive from sunk cost caused by durable specific assets. When the demand declines or excessive entry exists, barriers to exit can result in excessive competition that will further lead to deficiency in most enterprises. This book, on the basis of introducing relevant theoretical background, will mainly focus on the discussion of behavioral barriers to entry and institutional barriers to entry and exit.

According to the standard model of monopoly, the pricing mechanism in equilibrium should satisfy the principle that marginal revenue equals to marginal cost. However, when economists restrain their research in some certain industries, such as steel or cigarette, they surprisingly found that long—term quantities in these industries exceed the optimal level required by profit maximization. Bain (1949) explained these phenomena as strategies of entry deterrence adopted by incumbents. He argued that, by setting excessive product quantity, incumbent can avoid inducing potential enterers whose entry may make the incumbent's expected profit to decrease. Thus, if the discounted profit of excessive quantity setting strategy outweighs that can be brought by setting the monopoly price, the incumbent is naturally willing to expand its product quantity to deter potential entry. This informal statement forms the initial idea about limit pricing.

On purpose of building a more formalized framework of how the exact limit price is determined, Sylos (1962) and Modigliani (1958), on the basis of Bain's logic, developed the so-called "B-S-M" model, in which a core assumption – the "Sylos' postulation" requiring that incumbent, when potential enterer enters, sustains rather than cut down his original quantity is employed as the most essential foundation of their arguments.

However, quantity sustaining can never act as a sound assumption and a credible threaten. This defect of the "B-S-M" model initiated economists to reconsider the issue of limit pricing in the situation of preemptive committing. That is, incumbent can, through making irreversible investment and expanding its producing capability, rationalize its behavior of quantity sustaining and turn its commitment to be credible.

The inherent postulation of the preemptive commitment model is that the incumbent has the first-move advantage. Thus, the preemptive commitment model, strictly speaking, only refers to short-term analysis, although some economists (such as Spence, 1977) claimed that their works analyzed price path in the long run.

In this book, we will extend the preemptive commitment model to long-term analysis. In the short run, as the incumbent can make irreversible investment prior to the potential enterer, the optimal strategies of these two firms will ultimately lead to a Stackelberg equilibrium. But if we change the analysis into the long run, things will be different, since although the incumbent can use its first-move advantage to achieve the leading quantity of the Stackelberg equilibrium firstly, its producing capability may be exceeded by the potential enterer. This is because of the fact that, while the producing capability of potential enterer is still increasing, incumbent's capability may decrease, due to

depreciation of its assets. Following this logic, the analysis of this book shows that, in the long run, the ultimate equilibrium will turn out to be a Cournot kind. Moreover, this book demonstrates that, on contrary to the traditional theory, preemptive commitment can help select out the most efficient potential enterer and therefore could contribute to enhancing social welfare.

The topic of institutional barriers to entry, which is one of the most significant issues in China's economy, is another main topic in this book. Differing from many traditional works that focus on rent seeking, this book illustrates influences of institutional barriers to entry on product quality in an experience - goods market with low demand elasticity. The novelty of this analysis is that it adds illegal potential enterer into consideration. In the model, the incumbent of an administratively controlled market faces a illegal potential enterer who forges the incumbent's product in order to evade the institutional barriers to Entry. Besides, a fiscal - income - maximizing government is employed. The analysis shows that, due to the unwillingness of the government to give up the fiscal income from fining illegal firms, the government has no enough incentive to fight against counterfeiting thoroughly. In the equilibrium, setting institutional barriers to entry will not help improve the average product quality, but may even cause the average quality to deteriorate.

While theories on barriers to entry have achieved great progress, works on barriers to exit turn out to be relatively fewer in western economic theories. But the institutional barriers to exit have long been emphasized by Chinese economists. For instance, the issue of institutional barriers to exit faced by state-owned enterprises has already been discussed for more than seven years.

One negative effects of institutional exit barrier is that it may lead

to a distorted industrial structure: when market demand declines due to some exogenous disturbance, institutional exit barrier will cause excessive competition to exist prevalently. This mechanism can partially explain the deflation of China's economy development around 1998. What this book is going to focus on is how this distortion can further influence the effectiveness of macroeconomic policies.

Moreover, this book will also refer to the discussion of what factors can influence the strength of institutional barriers to exit. Although this issue has already appealed attention of numerous economists, existing relevant discussions, unfortunately, cannot be considered as having included the strategic interactions between local governments, which is essential in the determination of the institutional barriers to exit exerted upon local state-owned enterprises. In fact, once each local government sets institutional barriers to exit in its precinct, it automatically place pressure from excessive competition on firms in other local areas, thus causes the competition to bear a certain feature of the war of attrition, which forms the novelty of this book in analyzing the institutional barriers to exit in China.

The whole discussions obeying the logic order mentioned above are divided into 14 Chapters. Briefly speaking, these chapters are organized as follows:

Chapter 1 refers to the development of theories on entry and barriers to exit and briefly discussed the inherent defects of these theories. Moreover, it outlines research processes and main ideas of this book.

In Chapter 2, the formation of barriers to entry and monopoly power is introduced in detail. Besides, it introduces definitions on natural monopoly and artificial monopoly and classifies several types of barriers to entry.

The discussion of the development of theories on entry deterrence

is arranged in Chapter 3, in which the theoretical evolution of entry deterring model with characteristics from limit pricing to various preemptive commitments by incumbents is high – lighted.

Chapter 4 focuses on models of rational predation, including signaling model, signal jamming model and the "deep – pocket" (long purse) story. Explanations on predation relating to diversification are also included.

Chapter 5 introduces the foundamental characteristic of model of the war of attrition and its theoretical forecasting on firms' exit order. The main contents of this chapter directly form the theoretical preparation for discussions in Chapter 11.

Chapter 6 describes the cause and mechanism of barriers to exit and its influences on firms'entry – exit decision – making. It also covers illustrations on the relationship between barriers to exit and entry and describes possible collusions among incumbents under the restraint of barriers to exit.

Chapter 7 presents a long – term dynamic model on entry deterrence. On the basis of discussing defects of the short – term preemptive committing model, this chapter develops a framework describing the process of optimal entry path selecting by potential enterers on purpose of managing to enter. This model implies that preemptive committing creates a selecting mechanism which could guarantee that the less efficient firms will be eliminated through entry process.

Chapter 8 discusses social welfare of potential competition. This chapter points out that, when sunk cost exists, potential competition can help the market to achieve quasi – efficiency. Preemptive committing, an important aspect of potential competition, is essential in enhancing dynamic social welfare.

Chapter 9 demonstrates the welfare effects of institutional barriers to

entry and exit. Through constructing a "quasi-competition" model, this chapter provides explanations on the formation of prevalent excessive entry in China. Moreover, this chapter also points out the nature of industrial distortion in a market-economy and offers relevant policy advices.

The influences of institutional barriers to exit on industrial structure have already resulted in lowering the effectiveness of macroeconomic policies in China. Due to the excessive entry caused by institutional barriers to exit, market will, during a long period, turn out to be profitless. This will further help form pessimistic expectations among firms, which will lead to a decrease in the effectiveness of macroeconomic policies. The detailed argument is presented in Chapter 10.

In Chapter 11, a model of government – dominated war of attrition is built in order to display some important factors determining the strength of institutional barriers to exit exerted on China's local state-owned enterprises. The key argument of this chapter is that, the level of the institutional barriers to exit is positively correlated with the extent of the loose constraint of budgeting faced by local government.

Chapter 12 discusses the product quality in a government – controlled experience goods market with low elasticity of demand. The analysis suggests that a representative fiscal – income – maximizing government has no incentive to engaging forge products with its maximum effort. That is, it will accommodate the entry of illegal firm producing counterfeits. Under such a kind of control, the average product quality will not increase, but may decrease with a relatively high probability.

Chapter 13, through econometrical analysis, discusses the relationship between the strength of institutional barriers to entry and industrial average profit ratio. In Chapter 14, case study of China's telecom industry under the topic of institutional barriers to entry is made.

## 目 录

| 第 | 1  | 莗  | 导     | 论           | •••••      | ••••• | •••••    | •••••                                   | • • • • • • • • | • | • • • • • • • • •                       | (1)  |
|---|----|----|-------|-------------|------------|-------|----------|---|-----------------|---|---|------|
| 第 | 2  | 章  | 进     | 入壁组         | 全与垄        | 断形成   | ţ        | • • • • • • • •                         | •••••           | •••••                                   | •••••                                   | (19) |
|   | 2. | 1  | 垄断    | 所及其         | 效率         |       |          |   |                 | •••••                                   |   | (19) |
|   |    | 2. | 1 – 1 | 自名          | <b>然垄断</b> | 与人为   | 1垄断      | • | •••••           | • • • • • • •                           | •••••                                   | (19) |
|   |    | 2. | 1 – 2 | 垄           | 货地位        | 的获耳   | (与垄      | 断势                                      | 力的维             | 持                                       | •••••                                   | (23) |
|   |    | 2. | 1 – 3 | 垄           | 货的效        | 率     |          |   |                 | • • • • • • • •                         | •••••                                   | (24) |
|   | 2. | 2  | 进力    | <b>L壁垒</b>  | 的界質        | Ē     | •••••    |   | •••••           |   | •••••                                   | (27) |
|   |    | 2. | 2 -:  | 1 传         | 统定义        |       |          | • • • • • • •                           |                 | • • • • • • • • •                       |   | (27) |
|   |    | 2. | 2 -2  | 2 "         | 进入总        | 成本"   | 定义       |   |                 |   |   | (29) |
|   |    | 2. | 2 -3  | 3 本         | 书的考        | 虑     |          | • • • • • • •                           |                 |   | •••••                                   | (30) |
|   | 2. | 3  | 进入    | <b>人壁</b> 垒 | 的分类        | €     | •••••    |   |                 |   | • | (32) |
|   |    | 2. | 3 – 1 | 结           | 勾性进        | 入壁套   | <u> </u> | • • • • • •                             |                 |   |   | (32) |
|   |    | 2. | 3 – 2 | 行;          | 为性进        | 入壁套   | <u></u>  | • |                 |   |   | (36) |
|   |    | 2. | 3 – 3 |             |            |       |          |   |                 |   |   | (38) |
|   |    | 2. | 3 – 4 | 进           | 入壁垒        | 与流云   | 力性壁      | 垒 …                                     |                 |   |   | (38) |
|   |    |    |       |             |            |       |          |   |                 |   |   |      |
| 釺 | 3  | 章  | 进     | 入阻:         | 尧策略        | 行为    |          | • • • • • • •                           |                 |   |   | (40) |
|   | 3. | 1  | 限#    | 削性定         | 价 …        | ••••• |          | • • • • • • •                           |                 |   |   | (40) |
|   |    | 3. | 1 – 1 | 在           | 位者的        | 定价的   | も择・      | • • • • • •                             |                 |   | •••••                                   | (41) |
|   |    |    |       |             |            |       |          |   |                 |   |   |      |

|    | 3.                               | 1 – 3   | 限制价格理论的发展: 动态分析与不完全                     |      |
|----|----------------------------------|---|---|------|
|    |                                  |   |   | 47)  |
| 3. | 2                                | 威胁  | 与承诺(                                    | (52) |
|    | 3.                               | 2 -1  | 限制价格作为不可置信的威胁(                          | (52) |
|    | 3.                               | 2 -2  | 从威胁到承诺(                                 | (54) |
|    | 3.                               | 2 -3  | 进入阻挠策略行为的博弈的构造(                         | (57) |
| 3. | 3                                | 抢先  | 承诺:作为战略工具的生产能力(                         | (58) |
|    | 3.                               | 3 – 1   | 过剩的生产能力假说: 斯宾塞模型(                       | (58) |
|    | 3.                               | 3 – 2   | 狄克西特模型(                                 | (62) |
|    | 3.                               | 3 – 3   | 结论 (                                    | (66) |
|    |                                  |   |   |      |
| 4  | 章                                | 一 厂 酒   | ₹的掠夺性行为 ······(                         | (68) |
|    |                                  |   |   | (69) |
|    | 4.                               | 1 – 1   | 连锁店悖论 ·····(                            | (69) |
|    | 4.                               | 1 -2  | 克瑞普斯 - 威尔逊信号传递模型(                       | (70) |
| 4. | 2                                | 掠夺  | 性定价的信号阻塞模型(                             | (77) |
| 4. | 3                                |   |   | (83) |
|    | 4.                               | 3 – 1   | 非对称资金约束下的掠夺性行为(                         | (83) |
|    | 4.                               | 3 – 2   | 资本市场的不完备性与"鼓钱袋"模型(                      | (84) |
| 4. | 4                                | 多样  | 化经营与掠夺性定价                               | (90) |
| 4. | 5                                |   |   | (91) |
|    |                                  |   |   |      |
| 5  | 草                                | <b>直</b> 消耗   | 毛战                                      | (93) |
| 5. | 1                                | 消耗  | 战                                       | (93) |
| 5. | 2                                | 消耗  | 战模型的经济含义                                | (96) |
| 5. | 3                                |   |   |      |
| 5. | 4                                |   |   |      |
|    |                                  |   |   |      |
| 5. | 5                                | 连续  | 时间与离散时间(                                | 106) |
|    | 3. 4. 4. 4. 4. 4. 5. 5. 5. 5. 5. | 3. 2<br>3. 3. 3<br>3. 3<br>3. 3<br>4. 章<br>4. 1<br>4. 2<br>4. 3<br>4. 4<br>4. 4<br>4. 5 | 3.2 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 3.3 | 信息   |

|   |    | 5. 6         | 5 – 1 | 弗登博格 - 泰勒尔模型       | (107) |
|---|----|--------------|-------|--------------------|-------|
|   |    | 5. 6         | 5 - 2 | 盖马沃特-纳尔布夫模型        | (111) |
|   |    | 5. 6         | 5 – 3 | 其他相关研究             | (114) |
| 5 | j. | 7            | 结语    |                    | (116) |
|   |    |              |       |                    |       |
| 第 | 6  | 章            | 退出    | 壁垒                 | (118) |
| 6 | ó. | 1            | 过度    | 竞争与退出壁垒            | (119) |
|   |    | <b>6</b> . 1 | 1 – 1 | 过度竞争:一个典型事例        | (119) |
|   |    | <b>6.</b> 1  | 1 – 2 | 退出壁垒的结构性因素         | (122) |
|   |    | <b>6.</b> 3  | 1 – 3 | 退出壁垒的行为性因素         | (123) |
| 6 | ó. | 2            | 退出    | 壁垒下的厂商行为           | (124) |
|   |    | 6. 2         | 2 – 1 | 新古典理论中的进入—退出条件     | (124) |
|   |    | 6. 2         | 2 – 2 | 厂商价值及其可变性          | (126) |
|   |    | 6. 2         | 2 – 3 | 退出壁垒下在位厂商的勾结性安排    | (128) |
| ( |    |              |       | 壁垒与进入壁垒            |       |
|   |    |              |       |                    |       |
| 第 | 7  | 章            |       | 你假设下的进入阻挠策略行为分析    |       |
| • | 7. | 1            |       |                    |       |
| • | 7. | 2            | 模型    |                    | (137) |
| , | 7. | 3            | 结论    |                    | (142) |
|   |    |              |       |                    |       |
| 第 | 8  | 章            | 关     | F潜在竞争的福利分析 ······· | (144) |
| ; | 8. | 1            |       | 替代                 |       |
|   |    | 8.           | 1 – 1 | 进入的外部性             | (144) |
|   |    |              |       | 进入替代               |       |
|   |    |              |       | 福利分析的一般方法          |       |
|   | 8. |              |       | 由进入下的潜在竞争: 可竞争性    |       |
|   |    | 8.           | 2 – 1 | 完全可竞争市场            | (152) |
|   |    | 8.           | 2 – 2 | 可支持的行业构造           | (153) |

### 进入与退出的壁垒:理论及其应用

|     | 8.2  | -3         | 潜在          | 竞争   | 的       | 威力        | •         |           | • • • • •   | ••••      | ••••    | ••••      | •••••           | (155) |
|-----|------|------------|-------------|------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-------------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------------|-------|
| 8.  | 3 ‡  | 仓先承        | 诺的          | り福 オ | 间含      | 义         | ••••      | • • • • • | •••••       | ••••      | ••••    | ••••      | •••••           | (157) |
|     | 8.3  | -1         | 抢先          | 承诺   | :       | 一种        | 潜る        | 生的        | 帕累          | 托引        | 女进      | ••        | •••••           | (157) |
|     | 8.3  | -2         | 择优          | 机制   | 与       | 动态        | 的         | 畐利        | 效应          | ••        | ••••    | ••••      | •••••           | (160) |
|     | 8.3  | -3         | 结论          | •••  | ••••    | • • • • • | ••••      | • • • • • | •••••       | ••••      | ••••    | ••••      | • • • • • • • • | (163) |
|     |      |            |             |      |         |           |           |           |             |           |         |           |                 |       |
|     |      |            |             |      |         |           |           |           |             |           |         |           | • • • • • • •   |       |
| 9.  | 1 7  |            |             |      |         |           |           |           |             |           |         |           |                 |       |
|     | 9. 1 |            |             |      |         |           |           |           |             |           |         |           |                 |       |
|     | 9. 1 |            |             |      |         |           |           |           |             |           |         |           |                 |       |
|     |      |            |             |      |         |           |           |           |             |           |         |           | • • • • • • •   |       |
| 9.  | 2 1  |            |             |      |         |           |           |           |             |           |         |           | • • • • • • •   |       |
|     | 9. 2 | <b>– 1</b> | 制度          | 性退   | 出       | 壁垒        | 的         | 性质        | 与原          | 因         | •••     | ••••      |                 | (171) |
|     | 9. 2 |            |             |      |         |           |           |           |             |           |         |           |                 |       |
|     | 9. 2 | -3         | 转型          | 时期   | 的       | 过度        | 进         | 入与        | 过度          | 竞争        | 争       | ••••      | • • • • • • •   | (177) |
|     | 9. 2 | <b>-4</b>  | 关于          | 国有   | 企       | 业亏        | 损         | 的解        | 释           | ••••      | • • • • | • • • • • | • • • • • •     | (178) |
| 9.  | 3    | 进人-        | -退          | 出壁:  | 全的      | 9宏        | 观刻        | (应:       | 结           | 构失        | 衡       | • • • •   | • • • • • •     | (182) |
|     | 9. 3 | - 1        |             |      |         |           |           |           |             |           |         |           |                 | (183) |
|     | 9.3  | -2         | 我国          | 现例   | 段       | 结构        | 1失        | 衡的        | 特点          | · ·       | • • • • | • • • • • | • • • • • • •   | (185) |
| 9   | . 4  | 结论         | • • • • • • | •••• | • • • • | • • • • • | • • • • • | • • • • • | ••••        | • • • • • | • • • • | • • • • • | •••••           | (188) |
|     |      |            |             |      |         |           |           |           |             |           |         |           |                 |       |
| 第 1 | 0 章  |            |             |      |         |           |           |           |             |           |         |           |                 | (190) |
| 1   | 0. 1 |            |             |      |         |           |           |           |             |           |         |           | • • • • • • •   |       |
| 1   | 0. 2 |            |             |      |         |           |           |           |             |           |         |           |                 | (191) |
| 1   | 0. 3 |            |             |      |         |           |           |           |             |           |         |           | •••••           |       |
| 1   | 0. 4 |            |             |      |         |           |           |           |             |           |         |           |                 | (200) |
| 1   | 0. 5 | 目标         | 与选          | 择    | • • •   | • • • • • | • • • •   | ••••      | • • • • • • | • • • • • | • • • • | • • • • • | •••••           | (204) |

| 第11章   | 地方政府主导型消耗战与制度性退出壁垒 | (207) |
|--------|--------------------|-------|
| 11. 1  | 引言                 | (208) |
| 11. 2  | 分析框架               | (211) |
| 11.3   | 模型                 | (214) |
| 11.    | 3-1 基本模型           | (214) |
| 11.    | 3-2 比较静态分析与结论      | (217) |
| 11. 4  | 讨论                 | (220) |
| 11.5   | 结语                 | (224) |
|        |                    |       |
| 第 12 章 | 进入管制与产品质量 ······   | (226) |
|        | 引言                 |       |
| 12. 2  | 分析框架               | (229) |
|        | 厂商的竞争均衡与相关结论       | (233) |
| 12.    | 3-1 冒牌厂商的进入条件      | (233) |
| 12.    | 3-2 厂商的竞争均衡        | (234) |
| 12.    | 3 - 3 命题           | (236) |
| 12. 4  | 政府的管制动机            | (240) |
| 12. 5  | 消费者的福利状况           | (242) |
| 12.6   | 结语                 | (243) |
|        |                    |       |
| 第 13 章 | 行业市场结构与工业企业赢利能力关系的 |       |
|        | 计量分析 ······        | ` /   |
| 13. 1  | 引言                 | (245) |
| 13. 2  | 对赢利能力差异的初步分析       | (247) |
| 13. 3  | 模型的建立              | (250) |
| 13. 4  | 计量估计方法介绍           |       |
| 13. 5  | 模型估计结果             | (256) |
| 13.    | 5-1 回归模型的设定        | (256) |

### 进入与退出的壁垒:理论及其应用

| 13.5-2 回归结果             | (258) |
|-------------------------|-------|
| 13.6 结论                 | (262) |
|                         |       |
| 第 14 章 政府管制、制度性进入壁垒与行政性 | 垄断    |
| 中国电信业体制改革的案例研究          | ·     |
| 14.1 电信产业从垄断走向竞争        | (264) |
| 14.1-1 电信产业的竞争性         | (264) |
| 14.1-2 电信产业的垄断性         | (266) |
| 14.2 管制之下的竞争            | (268) |
| 14.2-1 竞争市场结构的形成        | (268) |
| 14.2-2 电信业的政府管制         | (274) |
| 14.3 制度性进入壁垒            | (276) |
| 14.3-1 制度性进入壁垒与政府管制     | (277) |
| 14.3-2 制度性进入壁垒的不良影响     | (278) |
| 14.3-3 破除制度性进入壁垒        | (279) |
| 14.4 结论                 | (285) |
|                         |       |
| 参考文献                    | (286) |
|                         |       |
| 后记                      | (303) |

### **Contents**

| Chapter 1: Ou  | tline ·····                                   | (1)   |
|----------------|---|-------|
| Chapter 2: Bai | rriers to Entry and the Formation of Monopoly |       |
| -              |   | (19)  |
| 2. 1 Monop     | ooly and Its Efficiency                       | (19)  |
| 2. 1 – 1       | Natural Monopoly and Artificial Monopoly      | (19)  |
| 2.1-2          | Achieving and Maintaining the Monopoly Power  |       |
|                |   | (23)  |
| 2.1-3          | The Efficiency of Monopoly                    | (24)  |
|                |   | (27)  |
| 2.2-1          |   | (27)  |
| 2.2-2          | The Definition of "Entry Cost"                | (29)  |
| 2.2 - 3        | Arguments of This Book                        | (30)  |
| 2. 3 Classi    | fication of Barriers to Entry                 | (32)  |
| 2.3 -1         | Structural Barriers to Entry                  | (32)  |
| 2.3 - 2        | Behavioral Barriers to Entry                  | (36)  |
| 2.3 - 3        | Institutional Barriers to Entry               | (38)  |
| 2.3-4          | Barriers to Entry and Mobility Barriers       | (38)  |
| Chapter 3: Str | rategic Behaviors of Entry Deterrence         | (40)  |
|                | Pricing                                       |       |
| 3. 1 – 1       | Pricing of the Incumbent                      | (41)  |
| 3.1-2          | The B-S-M Model ·····                         | (44)  |
| 3.1 - 3        | Theoretical Developments of limit Pricing     |       |
|                | Model: Dynamic analysis and Incomplete inform | ation |
|                |   | (47)  |
| 3 2 Three      | stan and Commitment                           | (52)  |

| 3. 2 - 1 Limit Pricing as Incredible Threaten                                   | (52) |
|---|------|
| 3.2-2 From Threaten to Commitment ·····   | (54) |
| 3.2-3 Establishing the Game with Strategic Entry                                |      |
| Deterrence ·····  | (57) |
| 3.3 Preemptive Commitment: the Producing Capability as                          |      |
| a Strategic Instrument  | (58) |
| 3.3-1 Excessive Producing Capability: The Spence                                |      |
| Model ·····   | (58) |
| 3. 3 - 2 The Dixit Model  | (62) |
| 3. 3 – 3 Conclusion   | (66) |
|   |      |
| Chapter 4: Predatory Behaviors  | (68) |
| 4. 1 The "Reputation" of Predation  | (69) |
| 4. 1 - 1 The Chain Store Paradox ·····  | (69) |
| 4. 1 - 2 The Kreps-Wilson Signaling Model · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | (70) |
| 4. 2 Signal Jamming Model of predatory pricing                                  | (77) |
| 4. 3 The "Deep Pocket" Story  | (83) |
| 4.3-1 Predation under Asymmetric Financial Constrain                            | ıt   |
|   | (83) |
| 4.3-2 The Incompleteness of Capital Market and                                  |      |
| the "Deep Pocket" Story   | (84) |
| 4.4 Diversification and Predatory Pricing                                       | (90) |
| 4. 5 Conclusion   | (91) |
|   |      |
| Chapter 5: The War of Attrition   |      |
| 5. 1 The War of Attrition   | (93) |
| 5. 2 Economic Implications of the War of Attrition Models                       |      |
|   | (96) |
| 5. 3 The War of Attrition with complete Information                             | (98) |