## 意愿与现实: 中国高等院校统一招生 英语考试的反拨作用研究

The Intended Washback Effect of the National Matriculation English Test in China: Intentions and Reality

● 亓鲁霞 著

外语教学与研究出版社 FOREIGN LANGUAGE TEACHING AND RESEARCH PRESS Washback, or influence of testing on teaching and learning, has been a frequent topic for discussion in education.

In spite of the many assumptions and claims about how teaching is affected by testing, insufficient empirical research has been conducted to look into the issue.

This book reports on a large-scale study on the intended washback effect of the National Matriculation

English Test (NMET) on English teaching and learning in secondary schools in China.

Findings from the study throw much light on the tough issue: why powerful high-stakes tests like the NMET could not serve as effective agents for educational reform that is intended by test constructors and policymakers.

Qi Luxia is currently on the Faculty of English Language and Culture at the Guangdong University of Foreign Studies, and the study reported in the book was supported by MOE Project of the Centre of Linguistics and Applied Linguistics of Guangdong University of Foreign Studies.

封面设计:彭山版式设计:蔡颖

¥:18.90 ISBN 7-5600-4050-0





一个学术性教育性 出版机构

网址: http://www.fltrp.com

 $\frac{G_{63} \cdot s_{1}}{6}$ 

## 意愿与现实: 中国高等院校统一招生 英语考试的反拨作用研究

The Intended Washback Effect of the National Matriculation English Test in China: Intentions and Reality

● 亓鲁霞 著

### (京)新登字 155 号

#### 图书在版编目(CIP)数据

意愿与现实:中国高等院校统一招生英语考试的反拨作用研究/亓鲁霞著.一北京:外语教学与研究出版社,2004.3 ISBN 7-5600-4050-0

I. 意··· Ⅱ. 亓··· Ⅲ. 英语一高等学校—人学考试—研究—中国—英文 IV. C634.413

中国版本图书馆 CIP 数据核字(2004)第 016394 号

#### 意愿与现实:

中国高等院校统一招生英语考试的反拨作用研究 元鲁霞 著

责任编辑:申 葳

出版发行: 外语教学与研究出版社

杜 址: 北京市西三环北路 19 号 (100089)

 网
 址: http://www.fltrp.com

 印
 刷: 北京市鑫霸印务有限公司

开 本: 880×1230 1/32

印 张: 13.375

版 次: 2004年5月第1版 2004年5月第1次印刷

书 号: ISBN 7-5600-4050-0/H·1994

定 价: 18.90元

如有印刷、装订质量问题出版社负责调换

制售盗版必究 举报查实奖励

版权保护办公室举报电话: (010)88817519

## ABSTRACT

The present study, which adopted the approach of use-oriented language testing proposed by Shohamy (2001), investigated the intended washback effect of the National Matriculation English Test (NMET) in China. Specifically, it aims to find out whether the test has fulfilled its mission to induce an intended effect, which is to make English language teaching in secondary schools shift from its previous focus on language form to an emphasis on language use. In the study, factors that contribute to the test's success or failure in this mission were scrutinized. A multi-method design was contrived, using interview, observation, and questionnaire to collect data from eight NMET constructors, six English inspectors, 388 secondary school teachers, and 986 students.

The results show that the NMET has largely failed to achieve the intended washback effect. The failure is attributable mainly to an inherent conflict between the two functions of the test. One function is to select students for higher education. The other function is to bring about changes in teaching and learning, which is the intended washback of the test per se. While injecting high-stakes into the NMET and empowering it to effect educational reform, the selection function also imposes constraints on the test design and production, and thereby hinders realization of the intended washback effect. In addition, the pressure arising from the testing process encourages teaching and learning mainly to achieve higher scores rather than to develop the desired ability to use language effectively. These findings demonstrate that a high-stakes test is a powerful encouragement to "teaching to the test", but an ineffective means to promote learning

### ABSTRACT

or development of the educationally desired knowledge and ability.

Other factors that have been found to interact with the NMET to shape teaching and learning include teachers' own language proficiency and learning experience, and misuses of test results to evaluate schools and teachers.

On the basis of the findings of the present study as well as other washback studies, it is argued that the potentiality of a test to achieve strong intended washback effects is determined, to a large degree, by the stakes attached to the test. Neither low-stakes tests nor extremely high-stakes tests produce high intended washback effects. The tests that are likely to succeed in bringing about intended effects on teaching and learning are those whose stakes are at the right level. The stakes are high enough to make users pay attention to the message encoded in the tests but not so high as to trigger intense test preparations at the expense of teaching and learning to the objectives laid down by the curriculum.

The study also suggests ways to modify the NMET with a view to facilitating intended washback effects and minimizing unwanted side effects on teaching and learning.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

The study reported in this book is my Ph. D. research carried out at the City University of Hong Kong. Many people have contributed in various ways to the completion of the research and the book. Sincerely, I would like to express my heartfelt thanks to the following people.

First of all, I would like to thank my two supervisors, Dr. Alastair Allan and Dr. Jonathan Zhu for their advice and support, both in the early and later stages of my research. Thanks are also due to the other two members of my supervision panel, Dr. Mathew Peacock and Dr. Ken Rose, both of whom made valuable comments on my ongoing work. I am also grateful to Professor Charles Alderson, Professor Liz Hamp-Lyons, and Dr. Angel Lin, the examiners of my Ph. D. orals, for their critical and constructive comments on an earlier draft of the present book.

My thanks also goes to Professor Li Xiaoju, one of the designers of the National Matriculation English Test, who generously shared information with me, and also Dr. He Zhou for his time and helpful discussions. Dr. Carol MacLennan also provided valuable comments and constructive feedback. Other colleagues and friends who have helped in one way or another include Dr. Ouyang, Dr. Liu Jianda, Ms Ou Aihua, Dr. Rodney Jones, Dr. Betty Li, Dr. Charles Man, Dr. Jin Jianbin, and Dr. Wu Xudong.

The participants of the study—the test constructors, the English inspectors, teachers, and students—were kind enough to set aside much of their precious time to talk to me in the interviews and complete the questionnaires. This was much appreciated. Some

#### **ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

teachers also let me sit in on their classes to carry out observations. Their generous help and cooperation have contributed significantly to the completion of this study.

I am grateful to the Drs. Richard Charles Lee and Esther Yewpick Lee Charitable Foundation which awarded me the scholarship that made my Ph. D. studies possible. City University of Hong Kong has not only offered me a place to do the studies but also provided excellent service in every way, the library service in particular.

My family members have been extremely considerate and supportive. My husband frequently discussed my research with me, spot-checked my transcripts and coding, gave me useful feedback, and encouraged me to persevere during the course of the research. He also shouldered all family responsibilities during my absence from home. My 99-year-old grandmother, my elderly mother, and teenage daughter accepted my absence and neglect of their interests without complaint during the years of my studies.

Without the guidance, support, and help from all the people above, it would not have been possible for me to complete the research reported here. I am deeply indebted to them all.

List of Tables	•• 17
List of Figures ·····	х
Chapter One Introduction	
1.1 Research Problem	
1. 2 The Setting of the Study	4
1. 2. 1 The Educational System in China	4
1. 2. 2 Key Schools	5
1. 2. 3 Curriculum and Teaching Materials ·····	• 10
1. 2. 4 The Role of Examinations	• 11
1. 2. 5 The National Matriculation English Test as a Selection	
Tool	· 12
1. 2. 6 The National Matriculation English Test as a Change	
Agent	14
1. 3 Significance of the Study	17
1.4 Definition of Terms Referring to Test Effect	18
1.5 Organization of the Thesis	19
Chapter Two Review of the Literature	21
2.1 Empowerment of Tests: Origin of Washback	21
2. 2 Role of High-Stakes Tests in Educational Reform	
2. 2. 1. Test as a Spur for Action	24
2. 2. 2. Test as a Model for Instruction	26
2. 2. 2. 1 Finely tuned models ······	26
2. 2. 2. 2 Roughly tuned models ······	28
2. 2. 3 Criticisms of High-stakes Tests	29
2. 2. Concentralization of Weekhook/Impact	20

2.3.1 The Debate over Washback/Impact as an Aspect of	
Validity	30
2. 3. 2 Use-oriented Testing and Washback	33
2. 3. 3 The Scope, Pattern and Mechanisms of Washback	36
2.4 Empirical Research on Test Impact or Washback	41
2. 4. 1 General Education ······	41
2, 4. 2 Language Education	48
2.5 A Critique of Approaches and Methods in Washback/Impact	
Studies ·····	59
2. 5. 1 Research Approaches	59
2. 5. 2 Research Methods ······	64
2. 6 Summary	66
Chapter Three Method	71
3. 1 Conceptual Background	71
3. 2 Research Design	73
3.3 Participants	76
3. 3. 1 Test Constructors ······	76
3. 3. 2 English Inspectors ·····	77
3. 3. 3 Teachers	78
3. 3. 3. 1 Sampling teachers for qualitative data	
collection ······	78
3. 3. 3. 2 Sampling teachers for quantitative data	
collection ······	81
3. 3. 4 Students ······	82
3. 3. 5 The Researcher ······	83
3. 4 Instruments ······	85
3. 4. 1 Interview	85
3. 4. 1. 1 Data collection procedures	85
3. 4. 1. 2 Data analysis ······	88

3. 4. 1. 2. 1 Descriptive coding	·· 88
3. 4. 1. 2. 2 Axial coding ······	·· 95
3. 4. 1. 3 Considerations for validity and reliability	·· 98
3. 4. 1. 3. 1 Validity	98
3. 4. 1. 3. 2 Reliability	100
3. 4. 2 Observation ·····	102
3, 4, 2, 1 Classroom observation	102
3. 4. 2. 1. 1 A brief review of observation	
instruments	102
3. 4. 2. 1. 2 The present scheme	105
3. 4. 2. 1. 3 Data collection ······	107
3. 4. 2. 1. 4 Data analysis	109
3. 4. 2. 1. 5 Considerations for validity and	
reliability	110
3. 4. 2. 2 Observation of teachers' meetings	112
3. 4. 2. 3 Observation of NMET vetting meetings	112
3. 4. 3 Questionnaire	113
3. 4. 3. 1 Purpose	113
3. 4. 3. 2 Content and structure of the questionnaire	113
3. 4. 3. 3 Language ······	114
3. 4. 3. 4 Pretest	115
3. 4. 3. 5 Pilot study	116
3. 4. 3. 6 Data collecting procedures	117
3. 4. 3. 6. 1 Teacher questionnaire	117
3. 4. 3. 6. 2 Student questionnaire	119
3. 4. 3. 7 Data analysis	119
3.4.3.7.1 Data entry, data cleaning, and	
handling missing data	119
3. 4. 3. 7. 2 Statistical analysis and estimation	
of validity and reliability	120

3. 4. 3. 7. 3 Measurement of frequency in	
which different content areas were	
practiced in class	• 121
3.4.3.7.4 Measurement of frequency in	
which different content areas were	
practiced after class	• 124
3. 4. 3. 7. 5 Measurement of beliefs on	
different aspects of writing	126
3.4.3.7.6 Comparison of teachers'	
and students' responses to the	
same items ······	• 127
3. 5 Checklist ······	
3. 6 Summary	129
	ctn-
Chapter Four The General Intended Washback and the A	20.00
Chapter Four The General Intended Washback and the A	
•	s I
al School Practice—Analysis and Finding	s I
al School Practice—Analysis and Finding	<b>s I</b> · 131
al School Practice—Analysis and Finding  4. 1 Test Constructors' General Intentions and Major Measures	<b>s I</b> • 131 • 132
al School Practice—Analysis and Finding  4. 1 Test Constructors' General Intentions and Major Measures  Taken to Fulfill Them  4. 1, 1 General Intentions	• 131 • 132 • 132
al School Practice—Analysis and Finding  4. 1 Test Constructors' General Intentions and Major Measures  Taken to Fulfill Them  4. 1. 1 General Intentions  4. 1. 2 Measures Taken to Realize Intentions	• 131 • 132 • 132 • 140
al School Practice—Analysis and Finding  4. 1 Test Constructors' General Intentions and Major Measures  Taken to Fulfill Them  4. 1, 1 General Intentions	• 131 • 132 • 132 • 140
al School Practice—Analysis and Finding  4. 1 Test Constructors' General Intentions and Major Measures Taken to Fulfill Them 4. 1. 1 General Intentions 4. 1. 2 Measures Taken to Realize Intentions 4. 2 The Focal Points of the Senior III English Course	131 · 132 · 132 · 140 · 146
al School Practice—Analysis and Finding  4. 1 Test Constructors' General Intentions and Major Measures Taken to Fulfill Them 4. 1. 1 General Intentions 4. 1. 2 Measures Taken to Realize Intentions 4. 2 The Focal Points of the Senior III English Course 4. 2. 1 The Chronological Structure of the Senior III English	131 · 132 · 132 · 140 · 146
al School Practice—Analysis and Finding  4. 1 Test Constructors' General Intentions and Major Measures Taken to Fulfill Them 4. 1. 1 General Intentions 4. 1. 2 Measures Taken to Realize Intentions 4. 2 The Focal Points of the Senior III English Course 4. 2. 1 The Chronological Structure of the Senior III English Course	131 132 132 140 146
al School Practice—Analysis and Finding  4. 1 Test Constructors' General Intentions and Major Measures Taken to Fulfill Them 4. 1. 1 General Intentions 4. 1. 2 Measures Taken to Realize Intentions 4. 2 The Focal Points of the Senior III English Course 4. 2. 1 The Chronological Structure of the Senior III English Course 4. 2. 2 The Conceptual Structure of the Senior III English Course	131 132 132 140 146 147
al School Practice—Analysis and Finding  4. 1 Test Constructors' General Intentions and Major Measures Taken to Fulfill Them 4. 1. 1 General Intentions 4. 1. 2 Measures Taken to Realize Intentions 4. 2 The Focal Points of the Senior III English Course 4. 2. 1 The Chronological Structure of the Senior III English Course 4. 2. 2 The Conceptual Structure of the Senior III English Course Focus on Linguistic Knowledge and the NMET	131 132 132 140 146 147
al School Practice—Analysis and Finding  4. 1 Test Constructors' General Intentions and Major Measures Taken to Fulfill Them 4. 1. 1 General Intentions 4. 1. 2 Measures Taken to Realize Intentions 4. 2 The Focal Points of the Senior III English Course 4. 2. 1 The Chronological Structure of the Senior III English Course  4. 2. 2 The Conceptual Structure of the Senior III English Course Focus on Linguistic Knowledge and the NMET  4. 2. 3 A Profile of the Senior III English Course	131 132 132 140 146 147 2: 150

Observed ·····	• 156
4. 3. 2 Linguistic Knowledge and NMET Skills Drilling	
Reflected in Teaching Content, Method,	
and Materials	159
4. 3. 2. 1 Review lessons ······	164
4. 3. 2. 2 NMET section training and mock tests	168
4. 3. 2. 3 New lessons	171
4.3.3 Overt Attention on Meaning, Form, and Test-taking	
Strategies in the Classes Observed	173
4. 4 Extending the Generalizability of the Findings about the	
Senior III English Courses—Questionnaire Results	177
4.4.1 Teaching Materials	177
4. 4. 2 A Comparison of Teachers' and Students' Responses	
to Items about Activities and Exercises in and	•
after Class	181
4. 4. 3 Focus of Content Areas of Teaching	184
4.5 A Comparison between the Test Constructors' General	
Intention and School Practice	190
4. 6 Summary	193
Chapter Five The Intended Washback Effect of the NM	(FT
Writing Task—Analysis and Findings II	
Anarysis and Findings II	105
5. 1 Characteristics of the NMET Writing Task	
	195
	197
5. 2 Writing Behavior and Beliefs—Interview Results	
5. 2. 1 Writing Practice	
5. 2. 2 Views on Writing	199
5.3 Context of Writing Neglected by Teachers and Students-	

Questionnaire Results	203
5. 4 Where Does Attention Go in a Writing Class? —Classroom	
Observation Results	211
5, 4, 1 Writing Activities in Class	212
5. 4. 2 Explicit Focus of Attention on Different Aspects of	
Writing	214
5.4.2.1 An example of unsuccessful teaching of	
writing appropriately in relation to the	
rhetorical context ······	215
5.4.2.2 An example of stressing accuracy in writing	219
5.5 Summary	223
Chapter Six Factors that Hinder the Intended Washbacl	k
Analysis and Findings III	225
6.1 The Selecting Function Hinders the Directing Function	227
6. 1. 1 Restrictions on Test Format	228
6. 1. 2 Necessity of Norm-referencing	231
6. 1. 3 Restrictions on Vocabulary ·····	233
6.2 The Selecting Function Induces the Evaluating Function	234
6.3 Relationship between the NMET's Three Uses and Senior	
III English Teaching and Learning	235
6.3.1 The Selecting Function of the NMET Reinforces	
the Goal to Raise Scores ·····	237
6.3.2 The Evaluating Function of the NMET Reinforces	
the Goal to Raise Scores ·····	240
6. 3. 3 The Directing Function of the NMET Is Enfeebled	243
6.4 Teaching to the Test and the Intended Washback	245
6. 4. 1 Operationalizing Test Construct ······	245
6. 4. 2 Teaching to the Test Content	251
6.4.3 Teaching to the Test Format	253

6. 4. 4 Automatizing Test Performance	· 25
6. 5 Summary	• 25
Chapter Seven Discussion and Conclusions	25
7. 1 Limitations of the Study	25
7. 2 Discussion	26
7. 2. 1 Intended Effect of the NMET	262
7. 2. 2 Undesirable Prolonged Test Preparations	265
7. 2. 3 Finely Tuned Model Tests and Teaching	267
7. 2. 4 High Stakes Hindering Intended Washback Effects	269
7. 2. 5 Redefining the Stakes of Tests	271
7. 2. 6 Assumption of a Linear Relationship between the	
Stakes of a Test and the Strength of Washback	277
7. 2. 7 Three Conditions for Testing Intended Washback	
Effects	278
7. 2. 8 Inverted U-curve for a Relationship between Test	
Stakes and Intended Washback	283
7. 2. 9 An Analysis of IELTS' and TOEFL's Washback in	
Light of the Three Conditions	287
7.2.9.1 The intentions of IELTS and TOEFL	
designers	288
7. 2. 9. 2 Why was one IELTS preparation course more	
communicative than the other?	289
7. 2. 9. 3 Why were TOEFL classes less communicative	•
than non-TOEFL classes?	294
7. 2. 9. 4 Summary	296
7. 3 Conclusion ······	
7.4 Implications ······	297
7. 4. 1 Theoretical Implications	297
7. 4. 2 Practical Implications	301
7. 5 Contributions of the Present Study	305

7.6 Further	Studies	305
References	ş	309
Appendix A	Interview Guide	323
Appendix B	Observation Scheme for Senior III English Lessons	327
Appendix C	Observation Scheme for Writing Lessons	331
Appendix D	English Version of Questionnaire for Teachers	335
Appendix E	English Version of Questionnaire for Students	343
Appendix F	Chinese Version of Questionnaire for Teachers	349
Appendix G	Chinese Version of Questionnaire for Students	357
Appendix H	Checklist for Application of General NMET Item	
	Setting Principles	363
Appendix I	NMET (1995)	383
Appendix J	Words for Memorization	401
Appendix K	Sample MC Items Used in Class to Complement	
	Reading Exercises in the Senior III English	
	Textbooks	405
Appendix L	A Sample Page of NMET Item Writers'	
	Guidelines (1998)	409

## LIST OF TABLES

Table 1	Promotion rate of school graduates by level	··· 5
Table 2	Studies on impact in general education	• 42
Table 3	Studies on washback in language education	• 50
Table 4	A multi-method research design for the washback	
	project ·····	• 74
Table 5	Participants of the Study	• 76
Table 6	Factor analysis results of 14 items on activities and	
	exercises in class ······	122
Table 7	Reliability of items on different content areas of	
	teaching in class ·····	123
Table 8	Factor analysis of 8 items on activities and exercises	
	after class ·····	125
Table 9	Reliability of items on different content areas practiced	
	after class ·····	125
Table 10	Factor analysis of items on views on different aspects of	
	writing	126
Table 11	Mean ratings by teachers and students on the items on	
	writing	128
Table 12	The National Matriculation English Test	143
Table 13	The chronological structure of the Senior III English	
	course	148
Table 14	Lessons observed	158
Table 15	Materials used in the observed classes	160
Table 16	Teacher and student behavior in class	161
Table 17	Percentage of class time on different content areas	162
Table 18	Attention to form, meaning, and test-taking	
	strategies	175