

山东省社会科学规划研究项目文丛·青年项目

# 英语诵读过程 研究

A Study of  
English  
Oral Reading  
Processes

闫秋燕/著

山东人民出版社  
全国百佳图书出版单位 一级出版社

山东省社会科学规划研究项目文丛·青年项目

# 英语诵读过程 研究

A Study of  
English  
Oral Reading  
Processes

闫秋燕/著

山东人民出版社  
全国百佳图书出版单位 一级出版社

## 图书在版编目 (CIP) 数据

英语诵读过程研究/闫秋燕著. —济南 : 山东人民出版社, 2011. 12  
ISBN 978-7-209-06046-2

I. ①英… II. ①闫… III. ①英语—阅读教学—  
教学研究 IV. ①H319. 4

中国版本图书馆 CIP 数据核字(2011)第 277661 号

责任编辑:常纪栋

封面设计:张丽娜

### 英语诵读过程研究

闫秋燕 著

---

山东出版集团

山东人民出版社出版发行

社 址:济南市经九路胜利大街 39 号 邮 编:250001

网 址:<http://www.sd-book.com.cn>

发行部: (0531) 82098027 82098028

新华书店经销

山东临沂新华印刷物流集团印装

规 格 16 开(169mm × 239mm)

印 张 20

字 数 300 千字

版 次 2011 年 12 月第 1 版

印 次 2011 年 12 月第 1 次

ISBN 978-7-209-06046-2

定 价 32.00 元

---

如有质量问题,请与印刷单位联系调换。(0539)2925659

# 序

闫秋燕博士的专著《英语诵读过程研究》即将出版面世。作为她在山东大学外国语学院就读博士期间的导师，本人倍感高兴，欣然作序。

事实上，研究者对阅读过程的关注由来已久。一个多世纪以来，关于阅读过程的模式和理论相继出现，研究方法也不断得到突破，大致经历了从严格控制变量的实验研究到自然状态下的民族志研究的范式转变，涉及的方法林林总总。其中，误读分析方法通过研究诵读行为，探究个体心理活动，打开了一个透视阅读过程的窗口。

误读分析方法始于上世纪六十年代，理论基础为交互式社会心理语言学阅读观，经过多年的发展，其信度和效度已被广泛认可，适用于研究阅读过程的诸多方面。然而，国内的误读分析研究尚不多见，尤其缺乏针对外语阅读过程的研究。闫秋燕博士的专著《英语诵读过程研究》的出版可谓恰逢其时，为读者提供了更多了解英语学习者阅读过程的机会，从方法论的角度看对将来的研究颇具指导意义。

《英语诵读过程研究》通过文本诵读、问卷调查、刺激回忆和书面摘要等手段，从误读分析的视角，研究了三组不同英语水平的中国大学生的英语诵读过程，内容涉及学生的阅读观念及诵读过程、诵读过程中的误读特征及修正类别、误读与理解程度以及文本类型的关系、导致误读现象产生的因素等，发现了三组学生在以上各方面的共享特征和组间差异。其中，理解深入、阅读广泛、词汇量大被视为高水平阅读者的共有特征，但低年级组的阅读自信相对较低；学生对记叙文和说明文的诵读准确度更高，但说明文的诵读流利性和顺畅程度略低。在误读特征方面，替代、省略、添加、倒置是出现频率较高的类型，低水平组的误读具有句法和语义不可接受性的比例更高。此外，本研究还发现，误读频率、文本类型与阅读理解程度之间不存在既定关系，误差的产生涉及学生的语言因素、认知因素、语境因素、背景知识因素及情感因素等。

总的看来，《英语诵读过程研究》有以下独到之处。其一，采用生态效度较

高的误读分析方法研究中国英语学习者的诵读过程,是国内为数不多的误读分析研究之一。其二,将刺激回忆法引入整个研究设计,增强了研究方法的信度和效度,使误读分析法更为完善。其三,通过分析诵读中的误读特征,发现了标准化考试难以测试的学习者在阅读中对语言线索系统和策略的使用特点,研究结果支持阅读普遍性假设,即阅读是同中存异的过程。其四,本书为中国环境下的英语阅读教学提供了诸多启示,如鼓励广泛阅读、转变阅读教学中教师的角色、泛读教学中侧重意义、引入过程取向的阅读测试手段等都非常利于英语阅读教学。

阅读是积极建构意义的过程,语篇意义存在于读者与文本信息的交流中,个体世界知识和语言知识的不同使得意义建构也有所不同。通过对误读现象的深入分析,研究者可推断阅读过程中一般认知策略和语言线索的使用情况。这样的研究课题对于阅读理论研究和实际阅读教学都具有非常重要的意义。可以预测,未来的阅读过程研究将会受到社会语言学、认知语言学和神经语言学等学科发展的更大影响,读者个体因素的影响将进一步得到重视,语篇加工过程的即时研究将得到加强,对说明文理解过程和心理表征的研究将会增多,阅读研究与教学的结合也会更为紧密。

《英语诵读过程研究》一书整体结构规范,篇章结构合理,论述思路清晰,逻辑性强,语言通顺流畅,是国内阅读研究领域一部不可多得的学术专著。希望闫秋燕博士在今后的研究中,更加全面和深入地探讨中国学生的阅读过程,可涉及学生阅读不同难度文本时误读特征的变化,扩大受试样本量,探究引发误读产生的因素时尽可能涵盖较多的误读实例。

祝闫秋燕博士的未来学术之路越走越宽,学术成果更为丰硕。

是为序。

山东大学外国语学院院长、教授、博士生导师

王俊菊

2011年12月于山东济南

# **Acknowledgements**

Upon completion of this book, I wish I could adequately express my gratitude to all the people who have helped me in the course of writing this book.

My highest gratitude first goes to my supervisor, Professor Wang Junju for her support and guidance throughout my doctoral candidacy and her encouragement and inspiration after my graduation. To her, I owe a debt of gratitude for her repeated revising of, and insightful suggestions on my dissertation on which this book is based. I would like to express my sincere gratitude for her wonderful lectures that have led me into the field of applied linguistics, and for her commitment to academic excellence that has shaped my understanding and attitude towards academic pursuit.

I am greatly indebted to Professor Liu Zhenqian whose lectures on psycholinguistics and second language acquisition have contributed invaluable insights to my research on reading. To him, I owe much for his insightful suggestions for my research topic, and for his kind recommendation of overseas reading experts to me.

My heartfelt thanks also go to Professor Miao Xingwei and Professor Zhang Delu from whose lectures I have greatly benefited, to Professor Liu Shizhu for his help of any kind, and to Dr. Yetta Goodman, Dr. Lin Wenyun, and Dr. Wang Shaomei for their help through email communication. I also owe my sincere gratitude to Professor Wang Xiangyun for his insightful advice and timely encouragement while I was working on this book.

My special thanks go to all the participants of the study for their voluntary participation and kind support. I would also like to thank all my

## A Study of English Oral Reading Processes

colleagues in the School of Foreign Languages and Literature of Shandong University who have encouraged me and offered me their support in whatever forms.

Finally, I would like to express my deepest love and thanks to my family. I am deeply indebted to my parents and brothers whose unconditional love and encouragement have always motivated me to overcome difficulties throughout my years of study. I am grateful to my husband whose love, support, and understanding have relieved me from anxiety during my doctoral candidacy and in the course of revising this book, and to my 8-year-old son whose smile has always been a driving force in my academic pursuit.

# **List of Abbreviations**

EFL	English as a Foreign Language
ENL	English as a Native Language
ESL	English as a Second Language
FL	Foreign Language
L1	First Language
L2	Second Language
MPHW	Miscues Per Hundred Words
RMA	Retrospective Miscue Analysis
WCPM	Words Correct Per Minute
WPM	Words Per Minute

# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

<b>List of Tables .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>List of Figures .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>List of Abbreviations .....</b>	<b>1</b>
<b>Chapter One Introduction .....</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 Background of the Study .....	1
1.2 Statement of the Problem .....	4
1.3 Purpose and Method of the Study .....	5
1.4 Significance of the Study .....	6
1.5 Organization of the Book .....	8
<b>Chapter Two Miscue-related Theories of Reading .....</b>	<b>10</b>
2.1 Introduction .....	10
2.2 Miscues as Windows on the Reading Process .....	11
2.3 The Transactional Socio-psycholinguistic Theory of Reading ..	15
2.4 Models of the Reading Process .....	21
2.5 Summary .....	26
<b>Chapter Three Previous Miscue Studies on Reading Processes .....</b>	<b>27</b>
3.1 Introduction .....	27

## A Study of English Oral Reading Processes

3.2	Misue Studies of Reading Processes in the L1 Context .....	28
3.3	Misue Studies of Reading Processes in the L2 Context .....	36
3.4	Misue Studies of Reading Processes in the Chinese Context ..	44
3.5	Research Gaps and Research Questions .....	45
3.6	Summary .....	48
<b>Chapter Four Methodology .....</b>		49
4.1	Introduction .....	49
4.2	Participants .....	49
4.3	Sources of Data .....	50
4.4	Procedures for Data Collection .....	53
4.5	Modes of Data Analysis .....	57
4.6	Summary .....	64
<b>Chapter Five Results and Findings of the Sophomore Group .....</b>		65
5.1	Introduction .....	65
5.2	Reading Perceptions of the Sophomore Students .....	65
5.3	Oral Reading Processes of the Sophomore Students .....	75
5.4	Features of the Misues Produced by the Sophomore Students .....	79
5.5	Misue Corrections by the Sophomore Students .....	98
5.6	Misues, Comprehension Performance and Text Type .....	107
5.7	Contributory Factors to Misue Production .....	113
5.8	Summary .....	114
<b>Chapter Six Results and Findings of the Junior Group .....</b>		116
6.1	Introduction .....	116
6.2	Reading Perceptions of the Junior Students .....	116
6.3	Oral Reading Processes of the Junior Students .....	124
6.4	Features of the Misues Produced by the Junior Students .....	128
6.5	Misue Corrections by the Junior Students .....	148
6.6	Misues, Comprehension Performance and Text Type .....	157
6.7	Contributory Factors to Misue Production .....	162

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

6.8 Summary .....	163
<b>Chapter Seven Results and Findings of the Senior Group .....</b>	<b>165</b>
7.1 Introduction .....	165
7.2 Reading Perceptions of the Senior Students .....	165
7.3 Oral Reading Processes of the Senior Students .....	174
7.4 Features of the Miscues Produced by the Senior Students .....	178
7.5 Miscue Corrections by the Senior Students .....	197
7.6 Miscues, Comprehension Performance and Text Type .....	206
7.7 Contributory Factors to Miscue Production .....	211
7.8 Summary .....	213
<b>Chapter Eight Results and Findings across the Three Groups .....</b>	<b>214</b>
8.1 Introduction .....	214
8.2 Patterns and Discrepancies in Reading Perceptions .....	214
8.3 Patterns and Discrepancies in Oral Reading Processes .....	215
8.4 Patterns and Discrepancies in Miscue Features .....	218
8.5 Patterns and Discrepancies in Miscue Corrections .....	229
8.6 Miscues, Comprehension Performance and Text Type .....	235
8.7 Contributory Factors to Miscue Production .....	238
8.8 Summary .....	239
<b>Chapter Nine Discussion .....</b>	<b>241</b>
9.1 Introduction .....	241
9.2 Gaps Between Perceptions and Performance .....	241
9.3 Unstable Relation Between Comprehension and Fluency .....	243
9.4 Substitutions as the Most Prominent Miscues .....	245
9.5 Larger Proportion of Miscues Involving Inflections .....	246
9.6 Unbalanced Use of Language Cueing Systems .....	249
9.7 Correction of Miscues as a Self-monitored Process .....	252
9.8 Weak Relationship Between Miscues and Comprehension Performance .....	253
9.9 Indecisive Role of Text Type in Miscue Production .....	255

## A Study of English Oral Reading Processes

9.10	Significant Role of English Proficiency in EFL Oral Reading .....	257
9.11	Linguistic and Cognitive Factors as Major Contributors to Miscue Production .....	259
9.12	Summary .....	262
<b>Chapter Ten Conclusion</b>	.....	<b>263</b>
10.1	Summary of the Study .....	263
10.2	Pedagogical Implications .....	266
10.3	Limitations of the Study .....	273
10.4	Suggestions for Future Studies .....	274
10.5	Concluding Remarks .....	275
<b>References</b>	.....	<b>276</b>
<b>Appendices</b>	.....	<b>294</b>
Appendix I	The Open-ended Questionnaire .....	294
Appendix II	Guiding Questions for Stimulated Recalls .....	296
Appendix III	Miscue Analysis Coding Form .....	297
Appendix IV	Questions for In-depth Analysis .....	298

# List of Tables

Table 2. 1	The transactional socio-psycholinguistic theory of reading .....	25
Table 4. 1	Details of the two selected reading texts .....	51
Table 4. 2	The coding scheme for miscue analysis .....	60
Table 5. 1	Fluency, accuracy and smoothness of sophomores' oral reading processes of Text One .....	76
Table 5. 2	Fluency, accuracy and smoothness of sophomores' oral reading processes of Text Two .....	78
Table 5. 3	Types and results of sophomores' miscues related to productive behaviors .....	80
Table 5. 4	Types and results of sophomores' miscues at the sub-word level .....	82
Table 5. 5	Types and results of sophomores' miscues at the word level .....	84
Table 5. 6	Types and results of sophomores' miscues at the supra-word level .....	85
Table 5. 7	Degrees and results of sophomores' miscues regarding syntactic acceptability .....	87
Table 5. 8	Degrees and results of sophomores' miscues regarding semantic acceptability .....	88
Table 5. 9	Degrees and results of sophomores' miscues regarding graphic similarity .....	91
Table 5. 10	Degrees and results of sophomores' miscues regarding	

phonemic similarity .....	92
Table 5.11 Degrees and results of sophomores' miscues regarding meaning construction .....	94
Table 5.12 Degrees and results of sophomores' miscues regarding grammatical relations .....	97
Table 5.13 Types and results of corrections by sophomores at the sub-word level .....	99
Table 5.14 Types and results of corrections by sophomores at the word level .....	101
Table 5.15 Types and results of corrections by sophomores at the supra-word level .....	102
Table 5.16 Degrees and results of corrections by sophomores regarding syntactic acceptability .....	105
Table 5.17 Results of corrections by sophomores regarding semantic acceptability .....	106
Table 5.18 Results of miscues and comprehension performance by sophomores .....	108
Table 5.19 Cross-text results of sophomores' miscues .....	109
Table 5.20 Cross-text results of sophomores' corrections .....	112
Table 6.1 Fluency, accuracy and smoothness of juniors' oral reading processes of Text One .....	126
Table 6.2 Fluency, accuracy and smoothness of juniors' oral reading processes of Text Two .....	128
Table 6.3 Types and results of juniors' miscues related to productive behaviors .....	130
Table 6.4 Types and results of juniors' miscues at the sub-word level .....	132
Table 6.5 Types and results of juniors' miscues at the word level .....	133
Table 6.6 Types and results of juniors' miscues at the supra-word level .....	135
Table 6.7 Degrees and results of juniors' miscues regarding syntactic acceptability .....	137

Table 6. 8	Degrees and results of juniors' miscues regarding semantic acceptability .....	138
Table 6. 9	Degrees and results of juniors' miscues regarding graphic similarity .....	140
Table 6. 10	Degrees and results of juniors' miscues regarding phonemic similarity .....	142
Table 6. 11	Degrees and results of juniors' miscues regarding meaning construction .....	145
Table 6. 12	Degrees and results of juniors' miscues regarding grammatical relations .....	146
Table 6. 13	Types and results of corrections by juniors at the sub-word level .....	149
Table 6. 14	Types and results of corrections by juniors at the word level .....	150
Table 6. 15	Types and results of corrections by juniors at the supra-word level .....	152
Table 6. 16	Degrees and results of corrections by juniors regarding syntactic acceptability .....	154
Table 6. 17	Degrees and results of corrections by juniors regarding semantic acceptability .....	156
Table 6. 18	Results of miscues and comprehension performance of juniors .....	158
Table 6. 19	Cross-text results of juniors' miscues .....	159
Table 6. 20	Cross-text results of juniors' corrections .....	161
Table 7. 1	Fluency, accuracy and smoothness of seniors' oral reading processes of Text One .....	176
Table 7. 2	Fluency, accuracy and smoothness of seniors' oral reading processes of Text Two .....	178
Table 7. 3	Types and results of seniors' miscues related to productive behaviors .....	180
Table 7. 4	Types and results of seniors' miscues at the sub-word level .....	181

## A Study of English Oral Reading Processes

Table 7. 5	Types and results of seniors' miscues at the word level .....	184
Table 7. 6	Types and results of seniors' miscues at the supra-word level .....	185
Table 7. 7	Degrees and results of seniors' miscues regarding syntactic acceptability .....	187
Table 7. 8	Degrees and results of seniors' miscues regarding semantic acceptability .....	188
Table 7. 9	Degrees and results of seniors' miscues regarding graphic similarity .....	191
Table 7. 10	Degrees and results of seniors' miscues regarding phonemic similarity .....	192
Table 7. 11	Degrees and results of seniors' miscues regarding meaning construction .....	194
Table 7. 12	Degrees and results of seniors' miscues regarding grammatical relations .....	197
Table 7. 13	Types and results of corrections by seniors at the sub-word level .....	199
Table 7. 14	Types and results of corrections by seniors at the word level .....	200
Table 7. 15	Types and results of corrections by seniors at the supra-word level .....	202
Table 7. 16	Degrees and results of corrections by seniors regarding syntactic acceptability .....	204
Table 7. 17	Degrees and results of corrections by seniors regarding semantic acceptability .....	205
Table 7. 18	Results of miscues and comprehension performance of seniors .....	207
Table 7. 19	Cross-text results of seniors' miscues .....	208
Table 7. 20	Cross-text results of seniors' corrections .....	210
Table 8. 1	Cross-group results of fluency, accuracy and smoothness in oral reading processes .....	217
Table 8. 2	Cross-group results of miscues related to productive	

behaviors .....	218
Table 8.3 Cross-group results of miscues related to linguistic levels .....	219
Table 8.4 Cross-group results of miscues at the sub-word level .....	220
Table 8.5 Cross-group results of miscues at the word level .....	222
Table 8.6 Cross-group results of miscues at the supra-word level .....	222
Table 8.7 Cross-group results of miscues regarding syntactic acceptability .....	223
Table 8.8 Cross-group results of miscues regarding semantic acceptability .....	224
Table 8.9 Cross-group results of miscues regarding graphic similarity .....	226
Table 8.10 Cross-group results of miscues regarding phonemic similarity .....	226
Table 8.11 Cross-group results of miscues regarding meaning construction .....	227
Table 8.12 Cross-group results of miscues related to grammatical relations .....	228
Table 8.13 Cross-group results of corrections at linguistic levels .....	230
Table 8.14 Cross-group results of corrections at the sub-word level .....	230
Table 8.15 Cross-group results of corrections at the word level .....	231
Table 8.16 Cross-group results of corrections at the word level .....	232
Table 8.17 Cross-group results of corrections regarding syntactic acceptability .....	233
Table 8.18 Cross-group results of corrections regarding semantic acceptability .....	234
Table 8.19 Cross-group results of idea units and miscues .....	236