

# THE KAIMING ENGLISH GRAMMAR

(For Senior Middle Schools and Private Students)

By

LIN YUTANG, A. M. (HARVARD), DR. PHYL. (LEIPZIG)

Professor of English Philology, Peking National University; Dean, Peking National Normal Women's University; Leaver on Modern Language Teaching Peking National Normal Phiversity.



THE KAIMING BOOK COMPANY, LTD.

"開明英文文法"

民國廿二年二月初版民國廿七年九月三版

有著作權 \*

今書改正售價三元

實價國幣二元三角 (外埠酌加郵費)

編著者 林 語 堂

發 行 者 章 錫 琛 上海福州路開明書店

印刷者 開明書店

總 發 行 所 上海福州路二六八號

分 發 行 所 廣州 漢口 天津 重慶 長沙 武昌 昆明 桂林

開 明 書 店 開明書店分店

臺47640

#### PREFACE

#### The Science of Expression

The Kaiming English Grammar represents the application of a new philosophy of grammar to the teaching of English grammar to Chinese students. It regards all grammatical forms and constructions as merely means of expressing notions, and grammar itself as a science of expression. Instead of starting from the outward form to the inner meaning, it starts from the inner meaning to the outward form, from the notions to the expression of these notions. Consequently, instead of concerning itself with the definitions and analysis of word-forms and formal changes, it goes deeper into the psychology of the speaker, and asks what are the notions back of the speaker's mind, which he is trying to express, and by what grammatical means he expresses them. Grammar therefore, concerns itself with (1) the notions, and (2) the expression of these notions. To these questions all grammatical changes and constructions are related and made subordinate. This emancipated view of grammar is made possible through the ideas of Benedetto Croce, and, more specifically, through the epoch-making works of Otto Jespersen (Philosophy of Grammar, 1924) and Ferdinand Brunot (La Pensée et la Langue, 1922).

#### Chinese and English Compared

In a way, the Kaiming English Grammar contains within its covers the adumbrations of a new comparative grammar of the English and the Chinese language. This, again, reduces itself to a comparison of the English and Chinese notional categories and the different means employed to express these notions. The points mentioned, however, are strictly of a practical, rather than theoretical, nature, and are made in order to help the Chinese students overcome certain psychological difficulties. Many common grammatical mistakes can be corrected very easily by

this psychological method, and by no other way. Where there are national differences in the notions themselves, the obvious way is of course to train the Chinese students in the new way of thinking. And where common notions exist in both languages, as in the majority of grammatical categories, the most interesting thing would be to show how differently the two languages express these notions. English grammar, presented in this way, therefore, teaches the English ways of thinking and expression. The student is constantly made to ask himself this question: If I have a given idea, how shall I express it in English?

#### Why Mistakes Are Made

The distinction between notions and their expressions is merely a logical, not a real one. There is no thinking which is not a way of expression, and no expression which is not a way of thinking. We think while we talk, and many ladies talk in order to find out what they think. The ways of thinking and expression are really inseparably bound up with each other. Consequently, there can be no grammar claiming to teach the expressions which does not at the same time teach the ways of thinking. When grammatical mistakes are made, it is because the ways of thinking and habits of expression are wrong. Mistakes are of two kinds: those due to foreign ways of thinking, found naturally among the foreign students of a language, and those due to other psychological causes, like conflict of ideas, change of mind, human forgetfulness, influence of near-by words, etc. Mere knowledge of rules does not prevent either the foreign student or the native speaker from making mistakes. The only sensible way of teaching grammar and making it effective is, therefore, to regard it as the science of expression and build up certain correct, idiomatic habits of thinking and expression through repeated and systematic drills.

#### Grammar or No Grammar?

The failure of the teaching of grammatical rules to ensure

correctness of expression has caused many people to throw grammar contemptuously aside, and advocate progressive and assimilative reading to take the place of conning over grammatical paradigms. So far as this method emphasizes the building of unconscious habits rather than rigmarole grammar, it is quite sound. The advocate of progressive reading, however, is labouring under a fear-complex, regarding grammar as the student's bugaboo. There is no reason why this should be so, when grammar is regarded, as it should be regarded, as merely a series of systematic drills on classes of expressions which every speaker must employ. No sane advocate of the reading-without-grammar method would deny that the value of such reading lies really in picking up turns of expression in living contexts, and that by arranging these turns of expression in notional classes and providing systematic drills, the picking up of such expressions can be made much easier and more pleasant. There is no gainsaying the fact that coming across a lone expression here and there and finding its parallels after long intervals is less effective and less convincing than having that lone example immediately reinforced by a dozen other examples of the same class and construction. Put in this way, there can be no argument against grammar.

#### The Bugaboo of Rules

I should have mentioned a third cause of grammatical mistakes, that due to efforts at "correctness" and fear of breaking grammatical rules. This fear can be carried so far as to override all natural idioms. The poor boy who begins to say "Whom are you?" after having learnt grammar at a night-school, or the Ziegfeld Follies girl who says "between you and I" with some sort of conscious pride is really only labouring under a confusion of mind engendered by the subtle rules of grammar. Even among foreign students, this type of grammar with so many "don'ts" and "shall-nots" is more likely to make the school-boy feel he is treading treacherous ground in a room full of traps and secret

- V -

doers rather than using plain English to express his ideas. Such abominations as "if war will break out next week" and "I had been sick before yesterday noon" are only the products of this type of grammar teaching. Psychologically speaking, correctness is the enemy of natural expressiveness, and the teaching of grammar, instead of increasing the student's power of expression, can actually become the nightmare which makes all natural expressiveness impossible.

#### "All Grammatical Rules Leak"

But there is a deeper logical reason for the futility of rules. It is Edward Sapir, the gifted philologist, who says that all grammatical rules leak. There is nothing harder to bear than the college graduate who has learnt or taught a little grammar, and who, always armed with rules of tense sequence or syntax in much the same sense as a professional lawyer is armed with the articles of a criminal code, jumps upon your perfectly idiomatic expressions, possibly correcting your "let alone..." into a "letting alone...", insisting that it must be a participial phrase, or changing your "the boat sails next Monday" into "the boat will sail, etc." If the incidental remarks contained in this book can help to shake the teacher's faith in rules and reveal the more intimate phases of an Englishman's speech, it will have served some purpose.

#### A Grammar without Rules

It is time that we replace the categorical rules and equally categorical exceptions with more observation of the living facts of the language. The power of expression can be trained only by learning the expressive, ever-changing idioms, and not by putting on the grammatical strait-jacket. Grammar, as the sclence of expression, should be more subtle and less rigid; it should address itself more to the speaker's intentions and less to the rules and definitions. It should be more concrete and wallow less in the terms of Latin origin. It should also be more positive and less like a criminal code. It has been the effort of the present

author to replace such categorical rules by observations on the present usage. The English language is a living thing, and this is the only way to deal adequately with it. It is hoped that through this means, the student will form a more intimate acquaintance with modern English usage than is otherwise possible.

It remains only to acknowledge my great debt to all previous writers on the subject who take the same views of grammar as I do. My debt to Prof. Jespersen and his Modern English Grammar and Philosophy of Grammar will be evident to all users of the said books. I have incorporated his views and examples in this book on many points, although, naturally, I have not dared to go quite as far in the matter of new terminology in a book that is intended for general school use. Thus, I have managed to keep all the eight parts of speech intact, for instance. Above all, I have derived courage from him, as well as from Prof. Ferdinand Brunot and M. Henri Frei, for this somewhat heretical venture. Thanks are also due to the authors of the Concise Oxford Dictionary and Modern English Usage for enlightening articles and examples.

West End Gardens, Shanghai, May 26, 1930.

#### FOREWORD

#### TO VOLUME TWO

Owing to unforeseen circumstances, the compilation of the second volume was delayed longer than was expected. The standard of the second volume is also slightly higher than that of the first volume. The average senior middle school class should not attempt the covering of both volumes in the same school year, unless the teacher is confident of the students' ability to do so; but if one is used each year along with some proper reading material, it will be found useful and interesting as a means of increasing the students' power of expression.

Judging from the average standard of the present college students, this grammar may also be profitably used by a freshman class. A more elementary grammar, written in Chinese, but based on the same notional principles and devoted to drills on idioms, will be prepared for the more elementary classes. The present grammar will then serve as a useful book of reference for the teachers who may use this more elementary book of drills.

Thanks are due to Mr. Chang Pei-lin of Kaiming Book Company for compiling the Index of Subjects and Terms and for valuable assistance in seeing the book through the press.

The Author

### CONTENTS OF VOL. I

# CHAPTER I THE SCIENCE OF EXPRESSION

1.10. Something to say and way of saying it...

1.2 1.3 1.3

1.4 1.4 1.4

2.1

2.19

2.13

2.17.

2.18.

2.20.

2.23.

1.	expressing notions
0.	Grammar as the science of expression3
0.	National differences in notions and expressions
1.	National differences in notions6
2.	English grammar should teach English ways of thinking and expression
0.	Formal and notional grouping
1.	Outline of the course9
2.	Living grammar
	CHAPTER II
	PARTS OF SPEECH AND CHANGE OF FUNCTION
0.	The eight parts of speech or word-classes12
1.	Definitions12

Chinese and English compared......16

Change of function.....26

 3.14. Sense of function.
 17

 2.15. Sense of thing.
 18

 2.16. Sense of action and quality.
 20

2.30.	Verbs used as nouns
2.31.	
2.32.	Pairs of verbs and nouns
2.33.	Verbs used as adjectives: participles35
2.34.	Spelling of participles37
2.35.	Spelling of participles
2.40.	Adjectives changed into nouns38
2.41.	The poor, the dead, etc40
2.42.	
2.43.	Knowingly, decidedly, etc.
2.50.	T. T
2.51.	Adverbs and prepositions used as nouns45
2.52.	Adverbs, prepositions and conjunctions45
2.60.	Some interesting compound-words46
	CHAPTER III
	THE SENTENCE MOODS
3.10.	What is a sentence?49
3.11.	Importance of finite verb51
3.20.	Sentence, phrase and clause: subject, predicate and
0 0 1	principal verb52
3.21.	Direct and indirect objects53
3.22.	Sentence structure: modifiers and conjunctions53
3.23.	Phrase and clause55
3.30.	Sentence Moods
3.31.	Chinese and English compared: Chin. modal particles57
3.40.	Affirmation: I de, I am58
3.41.	Can, will, must, have, etc59
3.42. 3.43.	Affirmative replies60
3.44.	Qualified assertion
3.45.	Emphatic assertion
3.46.	English reticence and double negatives
o IU.	Affirmation by a retort question64

3.50.	Negation65
3.51.	Aren't, isn't, mustn't, etc66
3.52.	No, not a, not any, nothing, etc97
3.53.	Few, a few, little, a little
3.54.	No in answers69
3.55.	Emphatic negation69
3.56.	"I ain't got nothing"
3.57.	Conditional negation72
3.60.	Interrogation73
3.61.	Will you, won't you?74
3.62.	The tag-question
3.63.	Tonal interrogation and the questioning tone76
3.64.	The indirect question and noun clauses
3.70.	The potential moods78
3.71.	Command, request, suggestion, etc79
3.72.	Shall and will81
3.73.	Hope and wish82
3.74.	Permission, prohibition, obligation, etc84
3.75.	Conjecture and possibility86
3.76.	Pure supposition: would, could, should, might87
3.77.	The subjunctive clause
3.80.	Emotional utterances91
3.81.	Swear-words92
	CHAPTER IV
	PERSONS, THINGS AND THEIR GENDER
4.10.	Classes of things
4.20.	Process and result96
4.21.	아 있었다. 그리고 아이를 가는 것이 있다면 하는데 그는 것이 되었다. 아이들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람들은 사람
4.30.	Abstract and concrete nouns98
4.31.	Abstract-words with concrete meaning101
4.32.	A piece of folly, a fit of anger, etc102
4.40.	Common and proper nouns103

4.41.	Proper nouns and capital letters104
4.50.	Collectives and mass-words
4.51.	Mass-words106
4.60.	Things and persons
4.61.	Who, which and that108
4.62.	Whose and of which
4.63.	Personification
4.70.	Sex and gender112
4.71.	Masculine, feminine, common and neuter genders113
4.72.	Animals and persons of different sex114
	CHAPTER V
	NUMBER AND QUANTITY.
5.10.	Notions of number and quantity116
5.11.	Mass-words: grains of sand, bushels of rice, etc117
5.12.	Abstract nouns: piece of luck120
5.20.	Abstract nouns: piece of luck
5,21.	Doys, tautes, planes, potatoes
5.22.	Irregular plurals: fish, dozen, alumni
5.23.	Collectives: government have or has125
5.24.	Psychological intent: three weeks is heaps of time127
5.25.	The generic singular128
5.26.	Natural plurals
5.27.	
5.28.	Some special cases: sons-in-law, the Miss Rogers132
5.30.	Numerals: numerals, fractions and multiples133
5.31.	Indefinite number
5.40.	Conflict of number
5.41.	Number in verbs141
	CHAPTER VI
	WEIGHT, VALUE, SIZE, SHAPE AND POSITION
0.10	
6.10.	National differences in these categories147

6.20. 6.30. 6.40. 6.50. 6.60.	Expressions of weight
6.61.	
	CHAPTER VII
	REPRESENTATION
7.10.	Representation
7.30.	Personal pronouns: case and person160
7.21.	Mine, thine, etc
7.22.	Influence of modesty, respect, familiarity, etc163
7.23.	Reflexive and reciprocal pronouns166
7.24.	General person: one, people, etc168
7.30.	Conflict of person and case170
7.31.	Conflict of case: who, whom, whoever, whomever172
7.40.	Thing pronouns
7.41.	Some, any, none177
7.42.	What
7.43.	One, thing, affair
7.44.	That180
7.45.	It
7.50.	Metaphors
7.60. 7.61.	Tense of dependent clauses
7.70.	Personatation by a similar to the second sec
1.10.	Representation by omission193
	CHAPTER VIII
	DETERMINATION
8.10.	Representation, determination and modification198
8.11.	Classes of pronouns
8.20.	Distinction: this, that, same, other201
8.30.	Possessive pronouns202

8.40.	Apposition: apposition and example	205
	Sequence: the ordinals.	
8.60.	Alternation and distribution: either, each, etc	208
	Definite and indefinite: a and the	
8.71.	Generalization: a cat, the cat, cats	213
	Special uses of a and the	
	Omission of a and the	
	Indetermination: whatever, whoever, etc	

## CONTENTS OF VOL. II

#### CHAPTER IX

#### MODIFICATION

	dification: Its Importance22	3
	d-Classes and Word-Ranks92	5
	ationship between Modified and Modifier92	7
	Use and Omission of Hyphens23	
	ationship between Modifiers23	1
	ordinate Modifiers23	1
9.24. Sub	ordinate Modifiers	3
9.25. Shif	ted Ranks	
9.26. Tran	sformed Phrases as Modifiers24	6
9.27. Nou	ns and Verbs as Modifiers24	3
	ase and Clause Modifiers25	1
	Infinitive Phrase as Modifier25	2
9.32. The	Split Infinitive	6
9.33. Need	to, Dare to	
9.40. The	Participial Phrase as Modifier26	0
	connected Participles26	1
9.42. The	Absolute Participial Phrase	
9.50. The	Prepositional Phrase26	7
9.51. Prep	ositions for Brevity268	3
9.52. Prep	ositions at End	)
9.53. But,	Than270	)
9.54. Som	e Special Uses of English Prepositions271	SHEET
9.60. The	Relative Clause	3
9.61. That	as a Defining Relative Pronoun274	1.
	h as a Commentative Relative Pronoun276	
	h Modifying Statements278	
9.64. In W	Thich, from Whom, etc278	3
	h It, Which Them, etc	

9.66.	That to, That for, etc
9.67.	That Osed for in which, for which, etc
9.68.	That Dropped
9.691.	
9.692.	But as a Relative Pronoun
9.70.	Relative Adverbs When, Where, Why284
9.71.	Relative Adverbs When, Where, Why
9.80.	Phrase and Clause Modifiers Summarized286
9.81.	Post-Nominal Position of Modifiers287
9.82.	Economy in Phrase and Clause Modifiers
9.83.	The Nominal Phrase
9.84.	The Nominal Phrase
9.90.	The Predicate Complements
9.91.	"I Made Him Go"
	CHAPTER X
	COMPARISON AND DEGREES
10.10.	Degrees of Comparison: Their Relative Nature295
10.11.	The "Three Dagrees' of Comparison"
10.12.	More Better, Next Best, etc
10.13.	Superiority, Equality and Inferiority
10.14.	Implied Comparison301
10.15.	Words That Cannot Be Compared302
10.16.	Weakened Superlatives303
10.20.	
10.30.	Comparison with a Standard305 Degrees of Difference310
10.31.	Intermediate Degrees310
10.32.	Limitation317
10.33.	Cumulative Degrees318
10.40.	Choice and Comparison320
10.50	Comparison and Case322
	CHAPTER XI
	ASPECTS OF ACTION
11.01.	The Study of the Work
11.02.	What is an Aspect?