

# 怀疑论

的

# 魅力

著

刘莘

四川人民出版社

# 怀疑论的魅力

怀疑论所要摧毁的最终不是信念，而是信念的独断的基础。

试图通过驳斥怀疑论，并在此基础上通过拒绝它来捍卫人类认知事业尊严的传统思路，反而显现出了其荒谬。

怀疑论问题从本性上讲是属于理论而不是属于实践。不妨认为怀疑论是一种理智的游戏。它更大的益处恰恰在于游戏之外。

不管怎样，一个彻底的怀疑论者最终相信，激发无限反思而非提供永恒结论，才正是怀疑论的最大魅力。

ISBN 7-220-04600-6



9 787220 046001 >

ISBN7-220-04600-6/B·203

定价：22.00元

# 怀疑论的魅力

CHARMS OF SKEPTICISM

著  
刘莘

(川)新登字 001 号

责任编辑：黄成军

封面设计：毕 生

技术设计：姚继兵

## 怀疑论的魅力

刘莘 著

---

出 版：四川人民出版社

地 址：成都市盐道街 3 号 邮 编：610012

印 刷：四川省印刷技术协会印刷厂

---

开 本：850mm×1168mm 1/32 印 张：10.25

字 数：210 千 印 数：1000 册

版 次：1999 年 8 月第 1 版 印 次：1999 年 8 月第 1 次

---

ISBN7-220-04600-6/B·203

定价：22.00 元

作一个怀疑的独断论者，

抑或

作一个信仰的怀疑论者？

# 目 录

导 言..... (1)

## 第一章 我们能够知觉什么

第一节 直接实在论 ..... (13)

什么是直接实在论? 直接实在论的核心也是一种信念。古典怀疑论为反对直接实在论而提出的事例。直接实在论的回答。对其回答的有效性的判断。

第二节 间接实在论 ..... (24)

直接实在论难以对“错觉”给予合理的解释。由此引入间接实在论的概念。时间滞差与幻觉现象既是对间接实在论的支持, 又是对直接实在论的诘难。洛克对第一性质和第二性质的划分。间接实在论与科学证据相符。

第三节 外间世界的存在难题 ..... (33)

笛卡尔的沉思。笛卡尔的梦启示了间接实在论的困境。一切经验科学, 包括神经生理学, 都无法使间接实在论摆脱困境。间接实在论的例证及其对它的反驳。贝克莱的“存在就是被感知”。间接实在论导致了外间世界的存在难题。

第四节 从反实在论到怀疑论 ..... (43)

我们或许永远无法突破“感觉面纱”。一个有趣的历

史现象：以驳斥怀疑论为己任的笛卡尔反而为怀疑论思想作出了重大的贡献。另一个有趣的历史现象：笛卡尔通过证明上帝的存在来保证外间世界的存在，而贝克莱则通过证明外间世界的不存在来保证上帝的存在。贝克莱所犯的逻辑错误。休谟的怀疑论立场。康德最终向自己所说的“哲学的耻辱”靠拢。现象论的立场及其无法克服的难题。我们的两难处境：如何在理性的判断和自然的倾向间作出选择？怀疑论的回答。

## 第二章 我们能够预见什么

- 第一节 生命的疑惑** ..... (62)
- 死亡意识及其联想。从意义的层面上讲，是未来决定过去。可是，如何把握未来？预见未来的困难。以什么标准来判断预见是否成功？预见问题与生存意义。
- 第二节 休谟的挑战** ..... (68)
- 通过对“科学定律”的澄清，引入“因果问题”。休谟哲学的基础。“观念显微镜”与“休谟剃刀”。休谟对传统因果观的质疑。因果联系无非是经验的恒常结合。相信因果联系只是我们的自然本能。
- 第三节 拒斥与捍卫** ..... (79)
- 罗素把因果律当作“旧时代的遗迹”而加以拒斥。波普把因果推理归约为演绎推理。日常因果观念的坚韧性。休谟的混淆给我们的启发。把原因分别定义为必要条件、充分条件和充要条件的合理性及问题。在试图澄清原因观念时所面临的其它困难。一种奇怪

的感觉,又一个两难处境。

**第四节 怀疑论的立场** ..... (94)

因果问题与归纳问题相关。波普在归纳问题上的“动力论的怀疑论”立场。因果问题是比归纳问题更深刻的问题:后者只包含在前者的识别问题中,而不包含在它的实在性问题中。康德对因果观念的捍卫。康德对“先天综合判断”的逻辑特征的误解。因果观念的特殊逻辑特征决定:因果律既得不到证实也得不到证伪。在预见未来的理性活动中永远无法排除非理性的冒险因素。遗留的疑惑。

### 第三章 我们能够知道什么

**第一节 怀疑论的挑战** ..... (112)

普遍怀疑论与局部怀疑论。现代哲学所构造的笛卡尔式的普遍怀疑论例子。该例子的符号表示及分析。“证据超越”、“根据错误的论辩”与“普遍化原则”。怀疑论迫使我们探讨什么是“知识”。

**第二节 关于知识的传统定义** ..... (121)

“知道”一词在日常语言中的几种用法。传统知识定义的三大要素:(1)真;(2)信念;(3)信念的辩护。针对传统知识定义的格梯尔反例。面对反例的各种应对策略。这些应对策略的各自的困难。

**第三节 对传统知识定义的补充** ..... (133)

增加“决定性理由”克服知识定义所面临的困境。因果知识论所面临的困境。增加“不可废除性条件”以补充知识定义所面临的困境。别的补充途径的困境。



**第四节 对传统知识定义的改变**…………… (140)

引入诺齐克的条件知识论。条件知识论的优点。用条件知识论来反驳普遍怀疑论的尝试。用条件知识论来否定闭合原则的逻辑有效性。诺齐克推理背后隐藏的问题。条件知识论的失效。

**第五节 知识的确定性**…………… (148)

知识定义的困难有利于怀疑论的论辩。伍吉尔为怀疑论所作的辩护。摩尔用举例的方式来反驳怀疑论。维特根斯坦对“知道”一词的分析。“语言游戏”。维特根斯坦的策略面临相应的诘难。举例说明,“知道”一词并不像维特根斯坦所分析的那样被运用。确定性的两个层面的含义:认知确定性和心理确定性。怀疑是对心理确定性的排斥,而怀疑论却是对认知确定性的否定。另一种怀疑论推论。摩尔与怀疑论冲突的实质。在这二者之间:我们应该优先选择谁?我们面临沉重的矛盾。一种可能的启发和态度:换一个角度思考。

## 第四章 我们能够相信什么

**第一节 怀疑论的策略**…………… (170)

皮浪主义关于标准的挑战。怀疑论在辩护问题上的“倒退论证”。拒斥倒退论证的各种方案。倒退论证的一个特例:内在论的倒退。元辩护的概念。

**第二节 辩护的基础论**…………… (178)

基础信念与非基础信念的划分。古典基础论的特点:认为基础信念是不可错的。经验主义基础论者对“不可错的”所作的错误解释。可错论的基础论。基础论



数据加载失败，请稍后重试！

但其理论实质却是怀疑论的。在试图消解怀疑论时，他违背了自己的“语言游戏说”。“语言游戏”的多样性正好保证了“怀疑论游戏”的合法性。揭示理性的局限是怀疑论的最大贡献。普遍怀疑论不会像通常认为的那样导致自我驳斥。怀疑论所面临的不公正的历史对待源于一种普遍的“怀疑论恐惧症”。

**第三节 摧毁之上的建构——另一个实例…………… (236)**

“认识自己”这句古老箴言背后的问题。我们实际上并不知道究竟什么是“自我”。很可能根本不存在被称之为“我”的那种东西。本能在“我”的问题上的力量。“自由”很可能也是一种虚幻。但本能仍然在被理性摧毁的废墟上进行着信念的重建。

**第四节 作为一种生活态度的怀疑论…………… (245)**

“自由”的含义。法律与道德这类规范体系的实质。“真善美的统一”无非是一个令人愉快的幻觉。休谟法则：事情与价值的分野。摩尔：善是不可定义的。怀疑论者的“道德良心”。怀疑论者在本无意义的世界里不断去赋予意义。怀疑论者相信，没有任何先验的理由可以否认生命意志的先验的丰富性。怀疑论者可能是一个广义的宗教信仰者，他的口号是：“正因为不知道，才有可能相信”。怀疑论启示着一种特殊的生活态度。

**附录 怀疑论简史…………… (261)**

**主要参考书目…………… (301)**

**后 记…………… (305)**

## CONTENTS

Introduction ..... (1)

### **Chapter I What Can We Perceive**

1. Direct Realism ..... (13)  
What is direct realism? The core of direct realism is also a belief. Some cases proposed by ancient skepticism against direct realism. The answer by direct realism. Judgement on the answer's validity.

2. Indirect Realism ..... (24)  
Direct realist's difficulty in reasonable explanation of "illusion". This difficulty draws the concept of indirect realism on the scene. Phenomena such as time lag and hallucination for indirect realism but against direct realism. Lock's distinction between primary and second qualities. Indirect realism accords with scientific evidences.

3. The Problem Of The External World ..... (33)  
Descartes's meditations. Descartes's dream suggests the predicament of indirect realism. No empirical sciences, including neurophysiology, can set indirect realism free from this predicament. Evidence for indirect realism and

• Charms of Skepticism •

opposition against it. Berkeley's "to be is to be perceived". Indirect realism results in the problem of the external world.

4. From Anti-realism To Skepticism ..... (43)

We might never unveil our "sensation veil". An interesting case in history: Descartes who rebukes skepticism contributes a lot to skeptical thoughts. Another interesting case in history: Descartes guarantees the existence of the external world by proving the existence of God, but Berkeley guarantees the existence of God by proving the non-existence of the external world. Logical mistakes by Berkeley. Hume's skeptical stance. Kant at last approaches his "scandal of philosophy". The position of phenomenism and its insurmountable difficulties. Our dilemma; how to choose between judgement by reason and inclination by nature? The answer by skepticism.

## Chapter II · What Can We Predict

1. Perplexity Of Life ..... (62)

Death awareness and its association. From the meaning's angle, it is the future that fixes the past. But how to grasp the future? The difficulty of predicting the future. By what criterion to judge whether a prediction is successful or not? The problem of prediction and the meaning of life.

2. Hume's Challenge ..... (68)

Clarification of "scientific law" implies the problem of

causation. The foundation of Hume's philosophy. "Microscope of ideas" and "Hume's razor". Hume's doubt on traditional ideas of causation. Causal connection is none other than the empiracally constant conjunction. Belief in causal connection is nothing but our instinct.

3. Rejecting and Defending ..... (79)

Russell rejects the notion of cause as "a relic of a bygone age". Popper reduces causal inference to deductive inference. The firmness of ordinary notions of cause. Suggestions from Hume's confusion. Defining cause as necessary condition, sufficient condition, necessary and sufficient condition respectively; the reasonableness and difficulties of these definitions. Other difficulties arise when trying to clarify the notion of cause. A strange feeling; another dilemma.

4. The Stand Of Skepticism ..... (94)

The relationship between the problem of causation and that of induction. Popper's "motive skepticism" on the problem of induction. The causal problem is deeper than inductive problem; the latter is embraced only in the distinguishing question of the former, not embraced in the reality question of it. Kant's defense of the notion of cause. Kant's misunderstanding of the logic character of his "synthetical judgement *a priori*". The specific logic character determines the fact that causal law can not be proved or counterproved. In the process of rationally predicting the future, we can never absolutely get rid of the irrationally risking elements. The wonders remained.

### Chapter III What Can We Know

1. Skeptical Challenge ..... (112)  
Global skepticism and local skepticism. A Cartesian global skepticism constructed by contemporary philosophy. The symbolic expression and analysis of this example. “evidence-transcendent”, “argument from error” and “the principle of universalizability”. Skepticism forces us to investigate what is “knowledge”.
2. The Traditional Definition Of Knowledge ..... (121)  
Several ways of using “knowledge” in ordinary language. The three elements of traditional definition: (1) true; (2) belief; (3) justification of true belief. The Gettier’s counter-examples against the traditional definition. Various tactics dealing with the counter-examples. Diverse difficulties of these tactics.
3. Complementing The Traditional Definition  
Of Knowledge ..... (133)  
“Conclusive reasons” added to complement the traditional definition of knowledge. The difficulties of this tactics. The difficulties which causal theory of knowledge face. “Indefeasibility condition” added to complement knowledge definition. The difficulties of this tactics. Other complementary ways and their difficulties.
4. Changing The Traditional Definition  
Of Knowledge ..... (140)

- Introduction of Nozick's conditional theory of knowledge.  
Merits of conditional theory of knowledge. Attempt to counter global skepticism by conditional theory. Attempt to deny the logical validity of the principle of closure. Questions behind Nozick's reasoning. The failure of conditional theory of knowledge.
5. The Certainty Of Knowledge ..... (148)  
Difficulties in knowledge definitions favor skeptical argument. Unger's defense of skepticism. Moore refutes skepticism with illustrations. Wittgenstein's analysis of "knowledge". "language game". Wittgenstein's tactic faces corresponding criticism. To demonstrate by examples that "knowledge" is not used in ordinary language as Wittgenstein's analysis. Two aspects of certainty's meaning: cognitive certainty and psychological certainty. Doubt excludes psychological certainty, but skepticism denies cognitive certainty. Another skeptical argument. The substance of the conflict between Moore and skepticism. Who should we stand with between the two? We face a heavy contradiction. A possible attitude and its suggestion: to think from different angles.

## Chapter IV What Can We believe

1. Skeptical Tactic ..... (170)  
Pyrrhonian challenge against criterion. "The regress argument" concerning justification. Various ways to reject



• Charms of Skepticism •

"the regress argument". A specific example of "the regress argument": the internalist regress. The concept of meta-justification.

2. Foundationalism Of Justification ..... (178)

The demarcation between basic beliefs and non-basic beliefs. The character of classical foundationalism; regarding basic beliefs as infallible. The incorrect explanation of "infallible" by empirical foundationalism. Foundationalism with fallibilism. The combination of foundationalism and externalism. The difficulties of externalism. Another expression of foundationalism; resorting to facts as directly "given". The inner relationship between foundationalism and correspondence theory of truth. The difficulties of correspondence theory of truth and Sellars's "the myth of the given".

3. Coherentism Of Justification ..... (191)

The foundation of foundationalism; the ostensive definition of meaning. Quine's criticism of "the myth of the museum". From Quine's "the uncertainty of radical translation" through his "the unscrutability of reference" to the holist theory of meaning. The holist theory of meaning deconstructs foundationalism of justification as well as constructs the precondition of coherentism. Explanation of coherentism. Coherentism still faces skeptical attack of internalism. Coherentism of justification depends on coherentism of truth. The problems which coherentism of truth has to face.

4. Problems Remained ..... (207)