

Graduate Texts in Mathematics

Saunders Mac Lane

Categories for the Working Mathematician

Second Edition

数学工作者必知的
范畴学

第2版

Springer-Verlag

世界图书出版公司

Saunders Mac Lane

Categories for the Working Mathematician

Second Edition



Springer

书 名: Categories for the Working Mathematician 2nd ed
作 者: M. Lang
中译名: 数学工作者必知的范畴学 第2版
出 版 者: 世界图书出版公司北京公司
印 刷 者: 北京世图印刷厂
发 行 者: 世界图书出版公司北京公司 (北京朝内大街 137 号 100010)
联系电话: 010-64015659, 64038347
电子信箱: kjsk@vip.sina.com
开 本: 24 印 张: 14
出版年代: 2003 年 6 月
书 号: 7-5062-6008-5 / O · 397
版权登记: 图字:01-2003-3999
定 价: 39.00 元

世界图书出版公司北京公司已获得 Springer-Verlag 授权在中国大陆
独家重印发行。

Graduate Texts in Mathematics 5

Editorial Board

S. Axler F.W. Gehring K.A. Ribet

Springer

New York

Berlin

Heidelberg

Barcelona

Budapest

Hong Kong

London

Milan

Paris

Singapore

Tokyo

Saunders Mac Lane
Professor Emeritus
Department of Mathematics
University of Chicago
Chicago, IL 60637-1514
USA

Editorial Board

S. Axler
Mathematics
Department
San Francisco State
University
San Francisco, CA 94132
USA

F.W. Gehring
Mathematics
Department
East Hall
University of Michigan
Ann Arbor, MI 48109
USA

K.A. Ribet
Mathematics
Department
University of California
at Berkeley
Berkeley, CA 94720-3840
USA

Mathematics Subject Classification (1991): 18-01

Library of Congress Cataloging-in-Publication Data

Mac Lane, Saunders, 1909—

Categories for the working mathematician/Saunders Mac Lane. —
2nd ed.

p. cm. — (Graduate texts in mathematics; 5)

Includes bibliographical references and index.

ISBN 0-387-98403-8 (hardcover: alk. paper)

1. Categories (Mathematics) I. Title. II. Series.

QA169.M33 1998

512' 55—dc21

97-45229

Printed on acid-free paper.

Portions of this book have been previously published:

© 1969, 1970 by Saunders Mac Lane.

© 1998, 1971 Springer-Verlag New York, Inc.

All rights reserved. This work may not be translated or copied in whole or in part without the written permission of the publisher (Springer-Verlag New York, Inc., 175 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10010, USA), except for brief excerpts in connection with reviews or scholarly analysis. Use in connection with any form of information storage and retrieval, electronic adaptation, computer software, or by similar or dissimilar methodology now known or hereafter developed is forbidden.

The use of general descriptive names, trade names, trademarks, etc., in this publication, even if the former are not especially identified, is not to be taken as a sign that such names, as understood by the Trade Marks and Merchandise Marks Act, may accordingly be used freely by anyone.

This reprint has been authorized by Springer-Verlag (Berlin/Heidelberg/New York) for sale in the People's Republic of China only and not for export therefrom.

Reprinted in China by Beijing World Publishing Corporation, 2003

9 8 7 6 5 4 3 2 1

ISBN 0-387-98403-8 Springer-Verlag New York Berlin Heidelberg SPIN 10661688

Preface to the Second Edition

This second edition of “Categories Work” adds two new chapters on topics of active interest. One is on symmetric monoidal categories and braided monoidal categories and the coherence theorems for them—items of interest in their own right and also in view of their use in string theory in quantum field theory. The second new chapter describes 2-categories and the higher-dimensional categories that have recently come into prominence. In addition, the bibliography has been expanded to cover some of the many other recent advances concerning categories.

The earlier 10 chapters have been lightly revised, clarifying a number of points, in many cases due to helpful suggestions from George Janelidze. In Chapter III, I have added a description of the colimits of representable functors, while Chapter IV now includes a brief description of characteristic functions of subsets and of the elementary topoi.

Dune Acres, March 27, 1997

Saunders Mac Lane

Preface to the First Edition

Category theory has developed rapidly. This book aims to present those ideas and methods that can now be effectively used by mathematicians working in a variety of other fields of mathematical research. This occurs at several levels. On the first level, categories provide a convenient conceptual language, based on the notions of category, functor, natural transformation, contravariance, and functor category. These notions are presented, with appropriate examples, in Chapters I and II. Next comes the fundamental idea of an adjoint pair of functors. This appears in many substantially equivalent forms: that of universal construction, that of direct and inverse limit, and that of pairs of functors with a natural isomorphism between corresponding sets of arrows. All of these forms, with their interrelations, are examined in Chapters III to V. The slogan is “Adjoint functors arise everywhere.”

Alternatively, the fundamental notion of category theory is that of a monoid—a set with a binary operation of multiplication that is associative and that has a unit; a category itself can be regarded as a sort of generalized monoid. Chapters VI and VII explore this notion and its generalizations. Its close connection to pairs of adjoint functors illuminates the ideas of universal algebra and culminates in Beck’s theorem characterizing categories of algebras; on the other hand, categories with a monoidal structure (given by a tensor product) lead *inter alia* to the study of more convenient categories of topological spaces.

Since a category consists of arrows, our subject could also be described as learning how to live without elements, using arrows instead. This line of thought, present from the start, comes to a focus in Chapter VIII, which covers the elementary theory of abelian categories and the means to prove all of the diagram lemmas without ever chasing an element around a diagram.

Finally, the basic notions of category theory are assembled in the last two chapters: more exigent properties of limits, especially of filtered limits; a calculus of “ends”; and the notion of Kan extensions. This is the deeper form of the basic constructions of adjoints. We end with the observations that all concepts of category theory are Kan extensions (§7 of Chapter X).

I have had many opportunities to lecture on the materials of these chapters: at Chicago; at Boulder, in a series of colloquium lectures to the American Mathematical Society; at St. Andrews, thanks to the Edinburgh Mathematical Society; at Zurich, thanks to Beno Eckmann and the Forschungsinstitut für Mathematik; at London, thanks to A. Fröhlich and Kings and Queens Colleges; at Heidelberg, thanks to H. Seifert and Albrecht Dold; at Canberra, thanks to Neumann, Neumann, and a Fulbright grant; at Bowdoin, thanks to Dan Christie and the National Science Foundation; at Tulane, thanks to Paul Mostert and the Ford Foundation; and again at Chicago, thanks ultimately to Robert Maynard Hutchins and Marshall Harvey Stone.

Many colleagues have helped my studies. I have profited much from a succession of visitors to Chicago (made possible by effective support from the Air Force Office of Scientific Research, the Office of Naval Research, and the National Science Foundation): M. André, J. Bénabou, E. Dubuc, F.W. Lawvere, and F.E.J. Linton. I have had good counsel from Michael Barr, John Gray, Myles Tierney, and Fritz Ulmer, and sage advice from Brian Abrahamson, Ronald Brown, W.H. Cockcroft, and Paul Halmos. Daniel Feigin and Geoffrey Phillips both managed to bring some of my lectures into effective written form. My old friend, A.H. Clifford, and others at Tulane were of great assistance. John MacDonald and Ross Street gave pertinent advice on several chapters; Spencer Dickson, S.A. Huq, and Miguel La Plaza gave a critical reading of other material. Peter May's trenchant advice vitally improved the emphasis and arrangement, and Max Kelly's eagle eye caught many soft spots in the final manuscript. I am grateful to Dorothy Mac Lane and Tere Shuman for typing, to Dorothy Mac Lane for preparing the index, and to M.K. Kwong for careful proofreading—but the errors that remain, and the choice of emphasis and arrangement, are mine.

Dune Acres, March 27, 1971

Saunders Mac Lane

Contents

Preface to the Second Edition	v
Preface to the First Edition	vii
Introduction	1
I. Categories, Functors, and Natural Transformations	7
1. Axioms for Categories	7
2. Categories	10
3. Functors	13
4. Natural Transformations	16
5. Monics, Epis, and Zeros	19
6. Foundations	21
7. Large Categories	24
8. Hom-Sets	27
II. Constructions on Categories	31
1. Duality	31
2. Contravariance and Opposites	33
3. Products of Categories	36
4. Functor Categories	40
5. The Category of All Categories	42
6. Comma Categories	45
7. Graphs and Free Categories	48
8. Quotient Categories	51
III. Universals and Limits	55
1. Universal Arrows	55
2. The Yoneda Lemma	59
3. Coproducts and Colimits	62
4. Products and Limits	68


5. Categories with Finite Products	72
6. Groups in Categories	75
7. Colimits of Representable Functors	76
IV. Adjoint	79
1. Adjunctions	79
2. Examples of Adjoint	86
3. Reflective Subcategories	90
4. Equivalence of Categories	92
5. Adjoint for Preorders	95
6. Cartesian Closed Categories	97
7. Transformations of Adjoint	99
8. Composition of Adjoint	103
9. Subsets and Characteristic Functions	105
10. Categories Like Sets	106
V. Limits	109
1. Creation of Limits	109
2. Limits by Products and Equalizers	112
3. Limits with Parameters	115
4. Preservation of Limits	116
5. Adjoint on Limits	118
6. Freyd's Adjoint Functor Theorem	120
7. Subobjects and Generators	126
8. The Special Adjoint Functor Theorem	128
9. Adjoint in Topology	132
VI. Monads and Algebras	137
1. Monads in a Category	137
2. Algebras for a Monad	139
3. The Comparison with Algebras	142
4. Words and Free Semigroups	144
5. Free Algebras for a Monad	147
6. Split Coequalizers	149
7. Beck's Theorem	151
8. Algebras Are T -Algebras	156
9. Compact Hausdorff Spaces	157
VII. Monoids	161
1. Monoidal Categories	161
2. Coherence	165

3. Monoids	170
4. Actions	174
5. The Simplicial Category	175
6. Monads and Homology	180
7. Closed Categories	184
8. Compactly Generated Spaces	185
9. Loops and Suspensions	188
VIII. Abelian Categories	191
1. Kernels and Cokernels	191
2. Additive Categories	194
3. Abelian Categories	198
4. Diagram Lemmas	202
IX. Special Limits	211
1. Filtered Limits	211
2. Interchange of Limits	214
3. Final Functors	217
4. Diagonal Naturality	218
5. Ends	222
6. Coends	226
7. Ends with Parameters	228
8. Iterated Ends and Limits	230
X. Kan Extensions	233
1. Adjoints and Limits	233
2. Weak Universality	235
3. The Kan Extension	236
4. Kan Extensions as Coends	240
5. Pointwise Kan Extensions	243
6. Density	245
7. All Concepts Are Kan Extensions	248
XI. Symmetry and Braiding in Monoidal Categories	251
1. Symmetric Monoidal Categories	251
2. Monoidal Functors	255
3. Strict Monoidal Categories	257
4. The Braid Groups B_n and the Braid Category	260
5. Braided Coherence	263
6. Perspectives	266

XII. Structures in Categories	267
1. Internal Categories	267
2. The Nerve of a Category	270
3. 2-Categories	272
4. Operations in 2-Categories	276
5. Single-Set Categories	279
6. Bicategories	281
7. Examples of Bicategories	283
8. Crossed Modules and Categories in Grp	285
Appendix. Foundations	289
Table of Standard Categories: Objects and Arrows	293
Table of Terminology	295
Bibliography	297
Index	303


Introduction

Category theory starts with the observation that many properties of mathematical systems can be unified and simplified by a presentation with diagrams of arrows. Each arrow $f : X \rightarrow Y$ represents a function; that is, a set X , a set Y , and a rule $x \mapsto fx$ which assigns to each element $x \in X$ an element $fx \in Y$; whenever possible we write fx and not $f(x)$, omitting unnecessary parentheses. A typical diagram of sets and functions is



it is commutative when $h = g \circ f$, where $g \circ f$ is the usual composite function $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$, defined by $x \mapsto g(fx)$. The same diagrams apply in other mathematical contexts; thus in the “category” of all topological spaces, the letters X , Y , and Z represent topological spaces while f , g , and h stand for continuous maps. Again, in the “category” of all groups, X , Y , and Z stand for groups, f , g , and h for homomorphisms.

Many properties of mathematical constructions may be represented by universal properties of diagrams. Consider the cartesian product $X \times Y$ of two sets, consisting as usual of all ordered pairs $\langle x, y \rangle$ of elements $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$. The projections $\langle x, y \rangle \mapsto x$, $\langle x, y \rangle \mapsto y$ of the product on its “axes” X and Y are functions $p : X \times Y \rightarrow X$, $q : X \times Y \rightarrow Y$. Any function $h : W \rightarrow X \times Y$ from a third set W is uniquely determined by its composites $p \circ h$ and $q \circ h$. Conversely, given W and two functions f and g as in the diagram below, there is a unique function h which makes the diagram commute; namely, $hw = \langle fw, gw \rangle$ for each $w \in W$:



Thus, given X and Y , $\langle p, q \rangle$ is "universal" among pairs of functions from some set to X and Y , because any other such pair $\langle f, g \rangle$ factors uniquely (via h) through the pair $\langle p, q \rangle$. This property describes the cartesian product $X \times Y$ uniquely (up to a bijection); the same diagram, read in the category of topological spaces or of groups, describes uniquely the cartesian product of spaces or the direct product of groups.

Adjointness is another expression for these universal properties. If we write $\text{hom}(W, X)$ for the set of all functions $f: W \rightarrow X$ and $\text{hom}(\langle U, V \rangle, \langle X, Y \rangle)$ for the set of all pairs of functions $f: U \rightarrow X$, $g: V \rightarrow Y$, the correspondence $h \mapsto \langle ph, qh \rangle = \langle f, g \rangle$ indicated in the diagram above is a bijection

$$\text{hom}(W, X \times Y) \cong \text{hom}(\langle W, W \rangle, \langle X, Y \rangle).$$

This bijection is "natural" in the sense (to be made more precise later) that it is defined in "the same way" for all sets W and for all pairs of sets $\langle X, Y \rangle$ (and it is likewise "natural" when interpreted for topological spaces or for groups). This natural bijection involves two constructions on sets: The construction $W \mapsto \langle W, W \rangle$ which sends each set to the diagonal pair $\Delta W = \langle W, W \rangle$, and the construction $\langle X, Y \rangle \mapsto X \times Y$ which sends each pair of sets to its cartesian product. Given the bijection above, we say that the construction $X \times Y$ is a *right adjoint* to the construction Δ , and that Δ is left adjoint to the product. Adjoints, as we shall see, occur throughout mathematics.

The construction "cartesian product" is called a "functor" because it applies suitably to sets *and* to the functions between them; two functions $k: X \rightarrow X'$ and $l: Y \rightarrow Y'$ have a function $k \times l$ as their cartesian product:

$$k \times l: X \times Y \rightarrow X' \times Y', \quad \langle x, y \rangle \mapsto \langle kx, ly \rangle.$$

Observe also that the one-point set $1 = \{0\}$ serves as an identity under the operation "cartesian product", in view of the bijections

$$1 \times X \xrightarrow{\lambda} X \xleftarrow{e} X \times 1 \quad (1)$$

given by $\lambda \langle 0, x \rangle = x$, $e \langle x, 0 \rangle = x$.

The notion of a monoid (a semigroup with identity) plays a central role in category theory. A monoid M may be described as a set M together with two functions

$$\mu: M \times M \rightarrow M, \quad \eta: 1 \rightarrow M \quad (2)$$

such that the following two diagrams in μ and η commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M \times M \times M & \xrightarrow{1 \times \mu} & M \times M & & 1 \times M & \xrightarrow{\eta \times 1} & M \times M & \xleftarrow{1 \times \eta} & M \times 1 \\ \downarrow \mu \times 1 & & \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow \lambda & & \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow e \\ M \times M & \xrightarrow{\mu} & M & = & M & = & M & = & M \end{array} \quad (3)$$

here 1 in $1 \times \mu$ is the identity function $M \rightarrow M$, and 1 in $1 \times M$ is the one-point set $1 = \{0\}$, while λ and ϱ are the bijections of (1) above. To say that these diagrams commute means that the following composites are equal:

$$\mu \circ (1 \times \mu) = \mu \circ (\mu \times 1), \quad \mu \circ (\eta \times 1) = \lambda, \quad \mu \circ (1 \times \eta) = \varrho.$$

These diagrams may be rewritten with elements, writing the function μ (say) as a product $\mu(x, y) = xy$ for $x, y \in M$ and replacing the function η on the one-point set $1 = \{0\}$ by its (only) value, an element $\eta(0) = u \in M$. The diagrams above then become

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \langle x, y, z \rangle \longmapsto \langle x, yz \rangle & \langle 0, x \rangle \longmapsto \langle u, x \rangle & \langle x, u \rangle \longleftarrow \langle x, 0 \rangle \\ \downarrow & \downarrow & \downarrow \\ \langle xy, z \rangle \longmapsto (xy)z = x(yz), & x = ux, & xu = x. \end{array}$$

They are exactly the familiar axioms on a monoid, that the multiplication be associative and have an element u as left and right identity. This indicates, conversely, how algebraic identities may be expressed by commutative diagrams. The same process applies to other identities; for example, one may describe a group as a monoid M equipped with a function $\zeta : M \rightarrow M$ (of course, the function $x \mapsto x^{-1}$) such that the following diagram commutes:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M & \xrightarrow{\delta} & M \times M & \xrightarrow{1 \times \zeta} & M \times M & \xrightarrow{x \mapsto \langle x, x \rangle} & \langle x, x \rangle & \longmapsto & \langle x, x^{-1} \rangle \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \mu & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ 1 & \longrightarrow & M & & 0 & \longmapsto & u & = & xx^{-1}. \end{array} \quad (4)$$

here $\delta : M \rightarrow M \times M$ is the diagonal function $x \mapsto \langle x, x \rangle$ for $x \in M$, while the unnamed vertical arrow $M \rightarrow 1 = \{0\}$ is the evident (and unique) function from M to the one-point set. As indicated just to the right, this diagram does state that ζ assigns to each element $x \in M$ an element x^{-1} which is a right inverse to x .

This definition of a group by arrows μ , η , and ζ in such commutative diagrams makes no explicit mention of group elements, so applies to other circumstances. If the letter M stands for a topological space (not just a set) and the arrows are continuous maps (not just functions), then the conditions (3) and (4) define a topological group – for they specify that M is a topological space with a binary operation μ of multiplication which is continuous (simultaneously in its arguments) and which has a continuous right inverse, all satisfying the usual group axioms. Again, if the letter M stands for a differentiable manifold (of

class C^x) while 1 is the one-point manifold and the arrows μ , η , and ζ are smooth mappings of manifolds, then the diagrams (3) and (4) become the definition of a Lie group. Thus groups, topological groups, and Lie groups can all be described as "diagrammatic" groups in the respective categories of sets, of topological spaces, and of differentiable manifolds.

This definition of a group in a category depended (for the inverse in (4)) on the diagonal map $\delta: M \rightarrow M \times M$ to the cartesian square $M \times M$. The definition of a monoid is more general, because the cartesian product \times in $M \times M$ may be replaced by any other operation \square on two objects which is associative and which has a unit 1 in the sense prescribed by the isomorphisms (1). We can then speak of a monoid in the system $(C, \square, 1)$, where C is the category, \square is such an operation, and 1 is its unit. Consider, for example, a monoid M in $(\mathbf{Ab}, \otimes, \mathbf{Z})$, where \mathbf{Ab} is the category of abelian groups, \otimes is replaced by the usual tensor product of abelian groups, and 1 is replaced by \mathbf{Z} , the usual additive group of integers; then (1) is replaced by the familiar isomorphism

$$\mathbf{Z} \otimes X \cong X \cong X \otimes \mathbf{Z}, \quad X \text{ an abelian group.}$$

Then a monoid M in $(\mathbf{Ab}, \otimes, \mathbf{Z})$ is, we claim, simply a ring. For the given morphism $\mu: M \otimes M \rightarrow M$ is, by the definition of \otimes , just a function $M \times M \rightarrow M$, call it multiplication, which is bilinear; i.e., distributive over addition on the left and on the right, while the morphism $\eta: \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow M$ of abelian groups is completely determined by picking out one element of M ; namely, the image u of the generator 1 of \mathbf{Z} . The commutative diagrams (3) now assert that the multiplication μ in the abelian group M is associative and has u as left and right unit – in other words, that M is indeed a ring (with identity = unit).

The (homo)-morphisms of an algebraic system can also be described by diagrams. If $\langle M, \mu, \eta \rangle$ and $\langle M', \mu', \eta' \rangle$ are two monoids, each described by diagrams as above, then a morphism of the first to the second may be defined as a function $f: M \rightarrow M'$ such that the following diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} M & & M \times M & \xrightarrow{u} & M & & 1 & \xrightarrow{\eta} & M \\ \downarrow f & & \downarrow f \times f & & \downarrow f & & \parallel & & \downarrow f \\ M' & & M' \times M' & \xrightarrow{u'} & M' & & 1 & \xrightarrow{\eta'} & M' \end{array} \quad (5)$$

In terms of elements, this asserts that $f(xy) = (fx)(fy)$ and $fu = u'$, with u and u' the unit elements; thus a homomorphism is, as usual, just a function preserving composite and units. If M and M' are monoids in $(\mathbf{Ab}, \otimes, \mathbf{Z})$, that is, rings, then a homomorphism f as here defined is just a morphism of rings (preserving the units).

Finally, an *action* of a monoid $\langle M, \mu, \eta \rangle$ on a set S is defined to be a function $v: M \times S \rightarrow S$ such that the following two diagrams commute:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 M \times M \times S & \xrightarrow{1 \times v} & M \times S \\
 \mu \times 1 \downarrow & & \downarrow v \\
 M \times S & \xrightarrow{v} & S,
 \end{array}
 \qquad
 \begin{array}{ccc}
 1 \times S & \xrightarrow{\eta \times 1} & M \times S \\
 & \searrow \lambda & \downarrow v \\
 & & S.
 \end{array}$$

If we write $v(x, s) = x \cdot s$ to denote the result of the action of the monoid element x on the element $s \in S$, these diagrams state just that

$$x \cdot (y \cdot s) = (xy) \cdot s, \quad u \cdot s = s$$

for all $x, y \in M$ and all $s \in S$. These are the usual conditions for the action of a monoid on a set, familiar especially in the case of a group acting on a set as a group of transformations. If we shift from the category of sets to the category of topological spaces, we get the usual continuous action of a topological monoid M on a topological space S . If we take $\langle M, \mu, \eta \rangle$ to be a monoid in $(\mathbf{Ab}, \otimes, \mathbf{Z})$, then an action of M on an object S of \mathbf{Ab} is just a left module S over the ring M .